# Swansea Local Development Plan

# **Infrastructure Delivery Plan**

# Contents

1.0 Introduction

## 2.0 Infrastructure Delivery Plan Schedule

- 1. Transport Infrastructure
- 1.1 Highways
- 1.2 Active Travel
- 2. Social Infrastructure
- 2.1 Education
- 2.2 Green Infrastructure
  - 2.2.1 Green Infrastructure/Accessible Natural Greenspace
  - 2.2.2 Childrens Play, Destination Play Areas and Teen Facilities
    - Local Areas for Play (LAPs)
    - Local Equipped Area for Play (LEAPs)
    - Neighbourhood Equipped Areas of Play (NEAP)
    - Playing Fields/Pitches
  - 2.2.3 Biodiversity Measures and Environmental Enhancements
  - 2.2.4 Surface Water Drainage
- 2.3 Community and Commercial Uses
- 3. Utilities Infrastructure Waste Water and Public Sewerage Network
- 3.1 WwTW Provision and Improvements
- 3.2 Foul Water Network
- 3.3 Clean Water Supply Network
- 3.4 Easements and Diversions of Public Sewers, Water Mains and Pumping Stations
- 3.0 Background Information

5
5
5 23
61
54 61
61
61
68

	83
	83 88 89
	89
	92
	93
	96
	93 96 97 98
	98

99

3

### Introduction

- 1.1 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan provides a single schedule of all necessary infrastructure without which the development of allocated sites for the anticipated quantum of proposed housing/employment uses within the plan period could not proceed. The schedule is set out at Section 2 of this document. All infrastructure measures identified in the schedule are required in order to either unlock the development or are policy requirements necessary in order to meet fundamental LDP objectives, particularly those relating to sustainability and placemaking.
- The following broad categories are included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan 1.2
  - Transport Infrastructure
  - Social Infrastructure •
  - Utilities infrastructure
- For each element of infrastructure identified (whether on or off-site) the following information is 1.3 provided:
  - Anticipated costs and funding sources; •
  - Parties responsible for delivery and how the infrastructure element relates to their • priorities, schedules and plans;
  - Likely phasing; and •
  - A brief summary of any risks of non-delivery, plus, where appropriate, any contingency provisions.
  - LDP Policy requirements •
  - Additional Notes
- The Schedule also provides a notation to indicate 1.4

Essential. Measures where the requirement has been clearly identified in the LDP. Required: Measures which are required by policy but the exact details will be the subject of further negotiation in light of additional evidence of need and/or viability. Delivered: Measures previously identified where updated evidence shows that issues have been resolved/infrastructure already delivered.

- Where appropriate, notes and caveats are provided at the start of each sub-section of the 1.5 Schedule to highlight information relevant to each particular type of infrastructure requirement:
  - Sources of information/evidence •
  - processes of delivery
  - assumptions relating to costing/phasing;
  - any detailed assessments which will be necessary to determine further detail of level/nature of infrastructure required

Further more detailed Background Information is provided in Section 3 below.

### Infrastructure Delivery Plan Evidence Base

- The infrastructure requirements set out in the Plan are underpinned by a wealth of technical 1.6 evidence base documents (such as the Swansea Strategic Transport Model). This demonstrates the Council's commitment to ensuring that the LDP allocations are supported by realistic, evidence based infrastructure requirements.
- The Infrastructure Delivery Plan highlights how the estimates of phasing, costings and 1.7 contingencies are derived from the LDP evidence base. It provides signposting to the relevant evidence base source for each specific area of infrastructure and further information to explain how estimates of phasing, costings and contingencies have been made. Details are also provided of how the council have worked with Site Promoters and key stakeholders to ensure that the information is realistic and robust.
- Section 3 of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan provides further relevant background information relating to current levels of provision, processes of delivery, relationships to any related plans and strategies and any relevant LDP evidence base documents in relation to the following broad infrastructure areas:
  - 1. Transport
  - 2. Education
  - 3. Green Infrastructure
  - 4. Utilities
- In addition to the above, the following evidence sources are relevant to all infrastructure types: 1.8
  - been agreed with site promoters and, where relevant, have been used to inform the Infrastructure Delivery Plan Schedule.
  - Advanced masterplanning/planning applications: A number of sites are at an instances, information from further technical studies submitted or from advanced planning application process.

Independent Financial Viability Appraisals (IFVA). The Council carried out IFVAs on residential led Strategic Site Allocations. The IFVA's provide theoretical assumptions on the level of infrastructure required and associated phasing and costings. These have

advanced stage and some are currently the subject of a planning application. In these negotiations has been used to inform the Infrastructure Delivery Plan Schedule where appropriate. The IDP is a live document which will be updated over the plan period as further detailed information becomes available through the masterplanning and detailed

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS	ENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.0 Tra	ansport Infrastructure - 1	1.1 Highwa	iys									
1.1 A	<b>RM1</b> Pontarddulais Northern Access Link Road (NALR) from Station Road/Water Street signals to Tyn-y-Bonau Road and through to Glanffrwd Road	SD A	3,300,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Before 300 homes occupied	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None - Development o the site in full is unlocked by the delivery of the road.		Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD A and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1B	RM23 Improvements to interrelated Water Street / Station Road and Iscoed Road / Fforest Rd Junction	SD A	Included as part of RM1 anticipated costing	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study. Some elements in Carmarthenshire.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Before 300 homes occupied	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	ARUP ref 1	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD A and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1C	RM24 Town centre improvements to potentially include: two-way link from St Teilo Street to Caecerrig Road on Water Street and Caecerrig Road; Traffic signals at the Tyn-y-Bonau Road / Dulais Road junction or alternatively widening Water Street to allow two-way traffic flow; Closure of Tyn-y-Bonau Road to through traffic; Tidal Reach / New Road improvements	SD A	Included as part of RM1 anticipated costing	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Before 300 homes occupied	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Options also given in Pontarddulais Transportation and Development Study.	ARUP ref 2. Pontarddula Transportati and Developmer Study also contains measures	derived is from on ARUP study.	SD A and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1D	RM2 A484 / Victoria Road Junction. Possible signal control and any necessary improvements to Mill Street / Gorwydd Road Junction signal control	SD B	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	IFVA: Essential to development of West sector	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transport investigating grants.	ARUP ref 9	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD B and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1E	<b>RM7</b> A484 / Swansea Road Junction, roundabout modified to signal control	SD B	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	IFVA To be completed for new school opening	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	ARUP ref 11	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD B and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIAL	REQU	IRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continger provision			Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1F	<b>RM3</b> A4240 / Hospital Road Roundabout. Signalise Roundabout.	SD B	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	IFVA Following completion of first 100 dwellings	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref		Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD B and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1G	<b>RM8</b> Garden Village / Hospital Road Junction. New roundabout on Hospital Road required as part of SDA site B.	SD B	1,650,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: In tandem with development	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref		Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD B and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1H	RM26 Potential priority junction and improvements on Swansea Road as secondary access to SDA site B.	SD B	Not costed by ARUP – Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Hybrid planning application 2016/1478 approved subject to \$106	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	N/A	N/A	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	Secondar access requested Develope serve a lin number o dwellings provide a route thro site (cam controlled Hybrid pla applicatio 2016/147 approved to s106	d by mited of and to bus bugh the era d). anning on 8	To be determin ed.	SD B and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.11	RM4 Penllergaer Link Road - link and access road to SDA site C which creates a new north-south link road. This internal 'spine street' and associated junctions are to run broadly north to south through the site from the A4240 Gorseinon Road to the A483 and A484. New roundabout within SDA site C to provide routes to A483 (inclusive of new signalised junction onto A483) and A484, A4240 / Penllergaer Link Signal junction. Inclusive of a new road link between A48 and A484, A4240 / Penllergaer Link Junction, in combination with RM5	SD C	\$106 5,175,000 AND 2,050,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: 5,175,000 To release first 350 homes on N part of site. 2,050,000 To release remaining 500 homes	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref and Q		Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD C and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSEN		EQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Conting provisio		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1J	RM5 Pontarddulais Road / Gorseinon Road / Swansea Road roundabout. Existing Pontarddulais Road / Gorseinon Road / Swansea Road. Roundabout converted to signal control in co- ordination with new gateway access to SDA site C.	SD C	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Before 50 dwellings occupied	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None		ARUP ref 38	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD C and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1K	<b>RM6</b> M4 Junction 47 Improvement. Likely to include 4th lane on gyratory, 2 ahead lanes and 2 right turn lanes.	SD C	400,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: At outset of development of SDA's B, C and J	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transpor explore of funding sources.	other	ARUP ref 6	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD C and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1L	<b>RM10</b> A484 Pontarddulais Road / A483 Swansea Rd roundabout. Modify roundabout to potentially become traffic signal controlled.	SD C	400,000 OR 600,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Essential to development of west sector	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Could be delivered through \$	k k	ARUP ref 14.	400,000: ARUP 600,000: IFVA based on develope r estimate s IFVA only attributes to SD H	SD C and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1M	<b>RM6</b> M4 Junction 47 Improvement. Likely to include 4th lane on gyratory, 2 ahead lanes and 2 right turn lanes.	SD D and G	400,000	Developers	<b>Developers</b> to deliver as part of SD:D and G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: At outset of development of SDA's B, C and J	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transpor explore of funding sources.	other	ARUP ref 6 Outline Plannin Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OU approved subject to s106.	Costs derived from ARUP study. T ect	SD D, SD G and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1N	<b>RM15</b> Upgrade existing Felindre access and bridge. Upgrade of existing access road and bridge with new 3 arm roundabout junction on A48.	SD D and G	2,900,000	Developers	Developers to deliver as part of SD:D and G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	Not included in IFVA. To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None		ARUP ref F Outline Plannin Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OU approved subjet to s106	ARUP study. T	SD D, SD G and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	INTIAL RE	QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.10	RM16 Llangyfelach to A48 Link Road, and A48 / Bryntywod Junction. Internal road junction between Llangyfelach Link road and Mynydd Newydd, inclusive of 3 arm roundabout and junction on A48.	SD D	3,300,000	Developers	<b>Developers</b> to deliver as part of SD:D. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: At commencement of Phase 2 (post-1,160 homes)	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Concerns regarding the deliverability, buses and cycles only due to impact on A48.	ARUP ref G. Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subjec to s106.	ARUP study.	SD D and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1P	RM17 Mynydd Newydd - Llangyfelach Link Road. A new east-west link road inclusive of a Mynydd Newydd Road junction and new signalised Junction on Llangyfelach Road.	SD D	4,550,000	Developers	Developers to deliver as part of SD:D. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: In tandem with development	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Scheme revised and realigned in conjunction with developer negotiations.	ARUP ref HN/HS. In accordance with the SD Concept Plan and as set out in 2.3.41, all transport proposals for the area will be subject to further future assessments which will be required to robustly conside the requirements set out in Appendix 5 with regard to the requirement for additional strategic link to the site to connect to the A48. Transport Impac Assessments submitted as par of outline application (ref: 2017/1822/OUT)		SD D and T1, in combination with Appendix 5. See SD D para 2.3.4.1
1.1Q	RM18 New road link to connect J46 spur roundabout to Pantlasau Road in the vicinity of Morriston Hospital. Felindre Link / Pantlassau Road Junction (28) and Bus Link for Swansea Metro extension is required.	SI 4	3,525,000	Developers	Developers Delivery in association with ABMHB 'Arch Project for Morriston Hospital' in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref E	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SI 4 and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1R	RM19 J46 and Clasemont Road / Pantlassau Road	SD D and G	400,000	Developers	Developers to deliver as part of	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no	Transport to explore other	ARUP ref 29	Costs derived	SD D, SD G and T1, in

	tructure Delivery Plan										REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	Junction improvements to increase capacity. Additional signal control at roundabout entries and at Pant Lasau Road junction.				SD:D and G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.		discussions with CCoS Transport.	infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	funding sources.	Outline Plann Application: r SD D - 2017/1822/Ol approved sub to s106.	e ARUP study. UT	combination with Appendix 5.
1.1S	RM9 Link Road from A484 to serve business park. Swansea Road / Penllergaer Link Junction and Titanium Road/Penllergaer Link Junction both as new Roundabouts on the Swansea Road as part of Link Road. Incorporates a new spine street with a vehicular and pedestrian bridge over the River Lliw, from the A484 Llanelli Link road to the north which will open up the site for development. Spine street to connect to Park and Ride at Gowerton Station. Or alternative measure as tested by SSTM Addendum	SD H	2,675,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study and alternative identified in Addendum.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: In tandem with NE sector development	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref P	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD H and T1, in combination with Appendix 5. PR1
1.1T	RM10 A484 Pontarddulais Road / A483 Swansea Road roundabout. Modify roundabout to potentially become traffic signal controlled.	SD H	400,00 OR 600,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: Essential to development of west sector	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Could be delivered through SD C.	ARUP ref 14	400,000: ARUP OR 600,000: IFVA based on develope r estimate	SD H and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1U	RM11 Cwmbach Road / Ystrad Road Roundabout. Modify cross-roads to roundabout on B4285 Cwmbach Road / Ystrad Road.	SD H	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: At tail end of NE sector development	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP ref 17	s Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD H and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infras	tructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continge provision		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1V	RM14 A4216 / Cwmbach Road traffic lights. A4216 / Cwmbach Road changes to signal control cycle. Implement SCOOT control link junction to Fforestfach Cross.	SD H	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: At tail end of NE sector development	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	In develop traffic stud scheme a links to SI	dy this Iso	ARUP ref 3	33 Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD H and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1W	<b>RM19</b> J46 and Clasemont Road / Pantlassau Road Junction improvements to increase capacity. Additional signal control at roundabout entries and at Pantlassau Road junction.	SD E	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Transport. N.B. Also for SD D and G.	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None		ARUP ref 2	derived from ARUP study.	SD E and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1X	RM21 Clasemont Road / Vicarage Road Improvement. Signalisation of junction to allow turning lanes of sufficient length on Clasemont Road and Pentrepoeth Road.	SD E	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	The IFVA suggests the following phasing: When 200-250 homes occupied	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transport explore ot funding sources.		ARUP ref 4	40 Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD E and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1Y	<b>RM12</b> Link road to provide new spine street aligned with existing site access from Waunarlwydd Road. Partial connection to Llwyn Mawr road via new junction. New link road through SDA site F between Waunarlwydd Road in the East and Western boundary of SDA F will enable a future connection to Tycoch area.	SD F	2,900,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:F. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.		ARUP ref I	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD F and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1Z	RM13 Tycoch Roundabout Improvements. Ty Coch Road / Glanmor Road / Vivian Road Improvements using a signal controlled one-way system.	SD F	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:F. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transport explore ot funding sources.		ARUP ref 3	34 Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD F and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

## Infractructure Delivery Pla

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continger provisions		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1AA	RM22 Improvements in association with SDA site K. Langdon Road extension to Baldwin Crescent, A483 Fabian Way / Baldwins Crescent Junction signal control and new link road in association with Tidal Lagoon and other local developments in the Fabian Way corridor. Other measures required as part of the SDA site K masterplan include a new Junction on Fabian Way at Wern Fawr Road.	SD K	2,900,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:K. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study. Joint Transport Plan Table 3 – Transformational connectivity projects for the Swansea Bay City Region. Fabian Way Corridor. DiscrFunding sources: Local Transport Fund, Section 106, CIL, ERDF, LA Capital Funds. Costs £k: 25,000.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	ARUP	ref D Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD K and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1AB	<b>RM20</b> M4 Junction 45 improvement. Possible alterations to lane allocations on gyratory and approaches, alterations to road markings and gantry signing.	SD I	400,000	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in ARUP study.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	Transport t explore oth funding sources.		ref 27 derived from ARUP study.	SD I and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1AC	<b>RM27</b> SDA site I must deliver an internal spine street to run broadly North to South through the relevant Llansamlet East development area from Walters Road to Blawd Road, with new roundabout junctions designed to provide appropriate flood free access in accordance with the requirements of TAN 15.	SD I	Determined by site Transport Assessment and negotiations with CCoS Transport.	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	N/A	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	N/A	To be determ ed.	SD I and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

## Infractructure Delivery Pla

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSI	ENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1AD	RM28 Swansea Central Area highway infrastructure improvements as necessary, having regard to requirements arising from the necessary Transport Assessments and detailed transport modelling and including the following: - City Waterfront/Civic Centre / Paxton Street - Review junction arrangements, St Davids South (LC2) site - Review junction arrangements, Kingsway - Reduce traffic levels and review traffic network arrangements, Oxford Street / Westway - Review of access and traffic network arrangements, Wind Street - To introduce a low speed environment.	SD J	Determined by site Transport Assessment and negotiations with CCoS Transport.	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:J. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in Joint Transport Plan as "City Centre Project"	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	None.	Costs derived from ARUP study.	SD J and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.1AE	RM25 Tawe Riverside – Morfa Road	SD L	500,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:L. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Identified in Joint Transport Plan as "Morfa Distributor Road"	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None.	None.	Figure from Transpor t. Required for sustaina ble public transport	SD L and T1, in combination with Appendix 5.

D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related	Anticipated	Funding	Parties	Relationship to	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-	Contingency	Notes	Cost	Policy
J		Allocated	Costs (£)	Source	responsible for delivery	priorities, schedules and plans		delivery	provisions	NOLES	Note	Requirement
B. Oth cessa	scale measures highlight ner measures may come forwa ary with smaller scale developm of related sites to be determine	rd through Tra	ansport Assessme				tation. However the following	ng measures were highlighte	d in the ARUP stu	dy, as incremental in	nprovements	required as
1AF	A483 Pontarddulais Road / Ffordd Cynore	SD H, H1.33, H1.41, RC7.3	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included in the model, which will be necessary to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	To be determin ed.	T1
1AG	A483 Carmarthen Road / A4216 / Ravenhill Road	H1.36, H1.42, H1.35, RC7.2	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included in the model, which will be necessary to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	To be determin ed.	T1

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSI		QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1AH	Sketty Cross	SD F, RC5.8	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvemen measures, additional to those included in the model, whice will be necessan to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	ed.	T1
1.1AI	Dyfatty Junction	SD J, SD L, RC7.5	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included in the model, which will be necessand to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	ed.	T1
1.1AJ	A483 / Heol Y Gors / Pentregethin Road Roundabout	SD L, H1.37	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvemen measures, additional to those included i the model, whic will be necessal to mitigate the	ed.	T1

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
			appropriate mechanisms			association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.				impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."		
1.1AK	A483 / Ffordd Amazon Roundabout	SD K. Outside of Swansea.	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included the model, whice will be necessan to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	t ed. n	T1
1.1AL	Peniel Green Roundabout (Jn 44)	SD I, H1.14, H1.15, H1.16	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included the model, whice will be necessan to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the	t ed. n	T1

## Infractructure Delivery Pla

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIA		QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Conting provisio		tes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
						input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.					veloper and Council."		
1.1AM	B4489 / Heol Ddu / Mynydd Garnllwyd Road Junction	SD D, H1.38, SI 7	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	p.5 be me ado tho the will to r imp indi dev and dev and dev and the dev disc bet the SD 201 app	UP Study 0 "there may improvement asures, litional to se included in model, whic be necessar nitigate the bact of vidual relopments, d which will ed to be ntified in cussions ween the veloper and Council." tline Planning blication: re D - 7/1822/OUT proved subject 106.	ed.	T1
1.1AN	Clase Road / A4067 Roundabout	SD I, H6, RC5.5, RC7.6, H1.38, H1.40	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	p.5 be ado tho the will to r imp indi dev and dev acc ide disc bet	UP Study 0 "there may improvement asures, litional to se included i model, whic be necessar nitigate the bact of vidual relopments, d which will ed to be ntified in cussions ween the veloper and Council."	ed.	T1

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSI		QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.1AO	Bethel Road / Peniel Green Road	SD I H1.13 H1.14 H1.15 H1.16 H1.17 RC7.6	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvemen measures, additional to those included i the model, whic will be necessar to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	ed.	T1
1.1AP	Sketty Road (Lane) / Mumbles Road	RC5.8	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvemen measures, additional to those included i the model, whic will be necessal to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	ed.	T1
1.1AQ	Morriston Cross (Woodfield Street / Clase Road / Pentrepoeth Road)	RC5.5, H6 H1.38 H1.40	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvemen measures, additional to those included i the model, whic will be necessar to mitigate the	ed.	T1

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
			appropriate mechanisms			association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.				impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."		
1.1AR	Neath Road / Clase Road	H1.38 RC5.5 RC7.6	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included the model, whice will be necessan to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	t ed. n h	T1
1.1AS	M4 Junction 48 (N.B. this is located outside the City and County of Swansea)	SD A	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included the model, whice will be necessan to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the	t ed. n h	T1

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS	ENTIAL RE	QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
						input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.				Developer and the Council."		
1.1AT	Ty'n y Bonau Road / Dulais Road (Pontarddulais)	SD A, H1.29 RC5.7	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer or site financial contributions under s106 determined in negotiations with CCoS transport.	Local road improvements identified by the ARUP study as potentially requiring necessary incremental improvements in association with individual developments. Traffic Assessments at the time of application, in conjunction with CCoS Transport input will determine the most appropriate measures required with development.	To be determined following early discussions with CCoS Transport.	ARUP Study p.89 highlights if "no infrastructure measures" "Delays without any road infrastructure schemes result in significant increases in journey delay (at over 50%)."	None	ARUP Study p.50 "there may be improvement measures, additional to those included i the model, whic will be necessar to mitigate the impact of individual developments, and which will need to be identified in discussions between the Developer and the Council."	ed.	T1
	res from H1: Non-Strate					I –	1	1	1	1		
	development should be from Townhill Road.	H1.3	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of H1.3. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.		None	To be determin ed.	H1.3 AND T1
1.1AV	Highways access from Maes-Yr-Haf, Maes-Lan, Tegfan and Delfan.	H1.12	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of H1.13. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None.	None	To be determin ed.	H1.13 and T1

	ructure Delivery Plan								-	ENTIAL REG	UIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
I.1AW	Highway access to development from Bryntirion Road and Carmel Road.	H1.23	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of H1.26. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None.	None	To be determin ed.	H1.26 and T1
1.1AX	Highway access for development off Gower View Road and ffordd y Coegylfinir.	H1.31	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of H1.34. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None.	None	To be determin ed.	H1.34 and T1
l.0 Tra	ansport Infrastructure -	1.2 Active	Travel									
1.2A 1.2B	AT1 North Pontarddulais Shared Use Path	SD A	225,750	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Table Seven – City and County of Swansea Schemes 2015- 2020. Kingsbridge Cycle Link. Pontarddulais to Grovesend Cycle Link. And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	1.505 km length. Mainly off road, two sections on road. 3.997 km length.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD A T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.28	A12 Pontarddulais Link	SU A	099,000	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Table Seven – City and County of Swansea Schemes 2015- 2020. Kingsbridge Cycle Link. Pontarddulais to Grovesend Cycle Link. And Active	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	3.997 km length. Mainly off road, one section on road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or	SD A T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSEN		EQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continger provision		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
						Travel Integrated Network Map.		improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."				On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2C	AT3 Pontarddulais Southern Link	SD A	199,800	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	rould d.	1.332 km leng Mainly off road one section on road. H1.31 in very close proximity to AT03		SD A T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2D	AT4 Gorseinon North Shared Use Path	No SD	98,850	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	ould	0.659 km leng Off road. H1.2 includes part o AT04	cost =	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Conting provisio		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2E	AT5 Gorseinon North Residential Shared Use Path	No SD	42,300	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternativ Funding Sources be requir	would red.	0.282 km len Off Road.	cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2F	AT6 Loughor Link	No SD	93,900	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternativ Funding Sources be requir	would	0.626 km len Off road. H1. and H1.37 includes part AT06	28 cost = £150,000	
1.2G	AT7 Kingsbridge Link	SD B	252,450	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Table Seven – City and County of Swansea Schemes 2015- 2020. Kingsbridge Cycle Link. Priority 4. And Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alternativ Funding Sources be requir	would	1.683 km len Off road. Hybrid planni application 2016/1478 approved sul to s106	cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off-	Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ES	SENTIAL RE	QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2H	AT8 Clyne Valley Phase 2 Shared Use Path	No SD	462,300	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN".	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	3.082 km length Off road, one small section or road.	cost =	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.21	AT9 Bryngwyn Fields, Garden Village	SD B	117,450	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	private car." ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.783 km length Off road. Hybrid planning application 2016/1478 approved subject to s106	cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off-	SD B, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infras	tructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provis		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2J	AT10 Bryngwyn Fields, Garden Village	SD B	114,150	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	be requ	g us would uired.	0.761 km ler Off road. Hybrid plann application 2016/1478 approved su to s106	cost = £150,00 (Off- Road), £120,00 (Off- Road - Adjacen to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km 2 length o	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2K	AT11 Bryngwyn Fields, Garden Village	SD B	67,950	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	be requ	g s would	0.453 km ler Off road. Hybrid plann application 2016/1478 approved su to s106	cost = £150,00 (Off- Road), £120,00 (Off-	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2L	AT12 Penllergaer Shared Use Path	SD B	64,500	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would	<ul> <li>1.29 km leng Mostly on ros small section road.</li> <li>Hybrid plann application 2016/1478 approved su to s106</li> </ul>	ad, cost = off £150,00 (Off- Road), £120,00 (Off- Road -	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan								E	SSENTIAL	REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingend provisions	y Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."				Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2M	AT13 Parc Mawr Link	SD C	81,600	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wo be required	Off roa	km length. ad.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD C, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2N	AT14 Parc Mawr Shared Use Path	SD C	236,040	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wo be required	Adjace	km length. ent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD C, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingen provisions		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.20	AT15 Waunarlwydd Development Northern Link	SD H	86,400	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wo be required	Adjacent	Road. cost = £150,00 (Off- Road), £120,00 (Off- Road - Adjacen to the road) o On-roa Cycle Route £50,000 per km length o route	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2P	AT16 Waunarlwydd Development Southern Link – Phase 1	SD H	94,680	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wo be required	Adjacent	road. cost = £150,00 (Off- Road), £120,00 (Off- Road - Adjacento to the road) o On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km length of route	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2Q	<b>AT17</b> Waunarlwydd Development Southern Link – Phase 2	SD H	314,140	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alternative Funding Sources we be required	Adjacent		T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSEN	NTIAL RE	QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continger provision		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."				Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2R	AT18 A48 Link	SD C & E	510,000	Developers	Developers to deliver as part of SD:C & E. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	H1.29 incl part of AT Alternative Funding Sources w be require	18. e vould	4.25km length. Adjacent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD C & E, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5. H1.29
1.25	AT19 Penllergaer Woods Link	SD D	356,250	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:D. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN".	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	rould d.	2.375km length. Off road. Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subjector to s106.	cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road -	SD D, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2T	AT20 Llanmoor Homes Central Shared Use Path	SD D	156,600	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:D. In	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	ould	1.305km length. Adjacent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off-	SD D, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								E	SSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingenc provisions	y Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
					consultation with CCoS Transport.	Integrated Network Map.		existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2U	AT21 Llanmoor Peripheral Link Shared Use Path	SD D	245,160	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:D. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wou be required.		ad. $cost =$ £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD D, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2V	AT22 Bryntywod Link	SD G	83,640	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN".	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that	Alternative Funding Sources wou be required.	0.697km ler Adjacent ro		SD G, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

	tructure Delivery Plan										QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			length of route	
1.2W	AT23 Felindre Sustainable Urban Village	SD G	359,100	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	2.394km length. Off road. Unprioritised.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x	SD G, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2X	<b>AT24</b> Morriston Hospital Access Road	SD G	360,360	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:G. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Morriston Hospital Link". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car." ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	3.003km length. Adjacent	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off-	SD G, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
								across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIA	L REG	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continge provision		es	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2Y	AT25 Morriston Hospital Access Road Shared Use Path	SD E	48,360	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Morriston Hospital Link". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	Adja vould ed.	3km length. icent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD E, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2Z	AT26 Pantlassau Farm Development	SD E	279,900	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	vould leng	77km length 0.299km th. Off road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD E, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AA	AT27 A48 Link	SD E	208,080	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alternative Funding Sources w be require	Adja vould	4km length. acent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle	SD E, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS	ENTIAL R	EQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2AB	AT28 North Tawe Riverside Link	SD L	105,750	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:L. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.705km length Off road.	n. Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD L, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AC	AT29 Ynystawe Link	No SD	32,950	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.659km length On road.	h. Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	

Infrast	frastructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIR	RED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Conting provisio		Notes		ost ote	Policy Requirement
1.2AD	AT30 Swansea Vale Shared Use Path	SDI	39,720	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Swansea Vale Spine Road Improvements". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternat Funding Sources be requi	y s would ired.	0.331km le Adjacent ro	Dad. cc £' (C R £' (C R A to ro O C R £ f le ro	stimate ost = 150,000 Off- oad), 120,000 Off- oad - djacent o the oad) or on-road cycle oute 50,000 er km x ength of oute	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AE	AT31 Swansea Vale Shared Use Path	SD I	265,800	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Swansea Vale Spine Road Improvements". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternat Funding Sources be requi	) s would	1.772km le Off road, o small secti road.	ne cc on on £ (C R £ (C R A to ro O C R £ f le ro	stimate ost = 150,000 Off- oad), 120,000 Off- oad - djacent o the oad) or on-road cycle oute 50,000 er km x ength of oute	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AF	AT32 Swansea Vale Shared Use Path	SD I	17,800	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Swansea Vale Spine Road Improvements". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alternat Funding Sources be requi	) s would	0.356km le On road.	CC E <sup>2</sup> (C R E <sup>2</sup> (C R A to TO O	stimate ost = 150,000 Off- oad), 120,000 Off- oad - djacent o the oad) or on-road cycle	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	ENTIAL REG	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2AG	AT33 Birchgrove Link	SD I	109,200	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required. H1.17 includes part of AT33	0.728km length. Off road and adjacent.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5. H1.17.
1.2AH	AT34 Peniel Green Link	SD I	84,600	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.564km length. Adjacent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	)	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Conting provision		Notes	Cos Note		Policy Requirement
1.2AI	AT35 Peniel Green Shared Use Path	SD I	96,360	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternat Funding Sources be requ	y s would ired.	0.803km le Adjacent r	oad. cost £150 (Off- Road £120 (Off- Road Adja to th road On-r Cycl Rout £50, per l leng route	= 0,000 d), 0,000 d - cent e 0 or oad e te 0000 cm x th of e	SD I, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AJ	AT36 Carmel Road Shared Use Path	No SD	262,950	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternat Funding Sources be requ	) s would	1.753km le Off road. H includes an AT36.	11.7 cost rt of £150 (Off- Road	= 0,000 d), 0,000 d - cent e 0 0 0 0 coad e te 0000 cm x th of	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AK	<b>AT37</b> Upper Bank Link Phase 2	No SD	53,520	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alternat Funding Sources be requ	) s would	0.446km le Adjacent r	oad. cost £150 (Off- Road	),000 d), ),000 d - cent e ) or road	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

	tructure Delivery Plan							·			UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2AL	AT38 Upper Bank Residential Shared Use Path	No SD	91,800	Developer	Developer in consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.612km length. Off road. H1.5 includes part of AT38	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AM	AT39 Cwm Level Link	No SD	68,500	LTF Grant	Swansea Council	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	private car." ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	1.37km length. On road, very small section off road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provisi		Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2AN	AT40 Tawe Riverside Link	SD L	169,950	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:L. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	be requ	g s would ıired.	1.133km le Off road.	cost = £150, (Off- Road) £120, (Off- Road Adjac to the road) On-ro Cycle Route £50,0 per kr length route	T2, in combination with Appendix 5. , 2000 - ent or ad 00 n x of
1.2AO	AT41 Orchard Street Shared Use Path	SD J	52,800	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:J. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Table Seven – City and County of Swansea Schemes 2015- 2020. City Centre Project. Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would	0.44km ler Adjacent r		T2, in combination with Appendix 5. , 2000 - ent or ad
1.2AP	AT42 UWTSD Active Travel Infrastructure	SD K	172,200	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of SD:K. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan Priority 5 "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would	1.148km le Off road.	ength. Estim cost = £150, (Off- Road) £120, (Off- Road Adjac to the road) On-ro Cycle	T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	ENTIAL REC	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."			Route £50,000 per km x length of route	
1.2AQ	AT43 Tidal Lagoon Access Link	SD K	47,040	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:K. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Morfa" and "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	0.392km length. Adjacent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD K, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
1.2AR	AT44 Tidal Lagoon Access Link	SD K	430,560	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:K. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan "Fabian Way" and "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	3.588km length. Adjacent road.	Estimate cost = £150,000 (Off- Road), £120,000 (Off- Road - Adjacent to the road) or On-road Cycle Route £50,000 per km x length of route	SD K, T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSI	ENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2AS	AT45 Morfa Road	No SD	210,840	Delivered	Delivered	Local Transport Plan "Morfa Distributor Road" and "Links to NCN". Also Active Travel Integrated Network Map.	Delivered	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Complete.	1.757km le Adjacent r	<b>u</b>	T1 and T2, in combination with Appendix 5.
Measu 1.2AT	Ires from H1: Non-Strate	egic Housi H1.5	ng Sites Polic Costs to be	y Developer	Developer to	From the	Determined during early	Potential non-compliance	None	None	None	H1.5, T1 and
	former rail line as cycle path and positively integrate with the green space area		established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms		deliver as part of H1.5. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	discussions with CCoS Transport.	with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.				T2
1.2AU	Public access to agreed area of natural greenspace	H1.23	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of H1.23. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None	None	None	H1.23, T1 and T2
1.2AV	Provide good pedestrian and cycle link from site interior to existing adjacent village hall.	H1.26	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of H1.26. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None	None	None	H1.26, T1 and T2

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Continger provisions		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
			appropriate mechanisms									
1.2AW	The site should have Active Travel links to the existing Penllergaer settlement across the A483 and also into the existing Parc Penllergaer development.	H1.29	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of H1.29. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None	None	None	H1.29, T1 and T2
1.2AX	Good pedestrian and cycle links to upgraded playground.	H1.34	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS Transport. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of H1.34. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	From the Candidate Sites Assessments and Stakeholder responses.	Determined during early discussions with CCoS Transport.	Potential non-compliance with policy unless alternative arrangements can be found and agreed.	None	None	None	H1.34, T1 and T2
PROW	onsite, adjacent too or	near site r	equiring pote	ntial connec	tion, improvemen	t or incorporatio	n into site's design					
1.2AZ	LC77, LC98, LC1, LC118, LC104, LC106 and LC3	SD A	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alternative Funding Sources we be required	blud		T2 and T7
1.2BA	LC24, LC25, LC110, LC94 and LC26	SD B	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alternative Funding Sources we be required	blud		T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan		·	·		•					ENTIAL	REQL		DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provisi		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2BB	LC121 and LC28	SD C	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BC	C91, LC90, LC89, LC93, LC30, LC92, LC88, MY331, MY329 and MY330	SD D	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BD	LC114, LC88 and MY331	SD E	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BE	LC125, LC84, LC34, LC35, MW48, LC117 and LC33	SD G	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BF	LC101,LC72,LC27, LC28, CO103, CO600, LC26 and LC71	SD H	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan										ENTIAL	REQU	JIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provis		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2BG	LT382, LT384, LT383, BV377, MO331, MO349, LT459 and LT458	SDI	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BH	BO394 and LT398	H1.4	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BI	BO482 and BO481	H1.5	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BJ	LT396, LT398 and LT397	H1.7	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BK	RN19	H1.10	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan	•									ENTIAL	REQU	JIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provisi		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2BL	RN23	H1.11	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BN	LT393, LT392 and LT400	H1.12	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BO	BU377	H1.13	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BP	LT458 and LT459	H1.14	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BQ	LT393	H1.15	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan										ENTIAL	REQU	JIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provis		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2BR	ST484, ST481 and BO477	H1.16	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BS	LC20, LC21 and LC9	H1.17	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BT	LC94 and LC23	H1.18	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BU	CO103, LC69, LC115, LC68, LC70 and LC97	H1.19	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BV	LC24, LC25, LC120 and LC37	H1.20	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan	-									ENTIAL	REQU		DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provis		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2BW	LC16 and LC83	H1.21	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BY	LC118 and LC98	H1.26	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2BZ	LC103 and LC95	H1.27	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CA	LC18 and LC96	H1.28	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CB	LC40 and LC39	H1.29	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Fundin Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan										ENTIAL	REQU		DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provisi		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.2CC	CO136	H1.30	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CD	LC75 and KI103	H1.31	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CE	MO341 and MO340	H1.34	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CF	LC30, LC28, LC27 and LC72	H1.36	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CG	LD7, PE20, PR18, LD6 and LD18	H5.1	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

	ructure Delivery Plan										INTIAL	REQU		DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contin provisi		Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
I.2CH	LH50, LH60 and LH55	H5.2	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
I.2CI	LH57	H5.3	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CJ	PD18, PD22, PD16, PD21 and PD1	H5.4	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CK	MU71, MU25, MU23 and MU24	H5.5	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7
1.2CL	MU5, MU4, MU2, MU6 and MU10	H5.6	Costs to be established once specific measures agreed with CCoS PROW Team. Will be sought via s106 or other appropriate mechanisms	Developer	<b>Developer</b> to deliver as part of site. In consultation with CCoS PROW Team	Countryside Access Plan	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS PROW Team prior to permission.	Decrease in quality and amount of PROW within the County.	Alterna Funding Source be requ	g s would				T2 and T7

### Infractructure Doliv

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.0 Tra	ansport Infrastructure - 1	I.3 Public	Transport						1			
1.3A	Gowerton Park and Ride Rail based Park and Ride facility by Gowerton railway station. Bus linkages to rail station.	SD H	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD H. In consultation with CCoS Transport.		At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104: "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative funding sources would be required.	None	None	T1, T2, T3 and T4 in combination with Appendix 5. Measure <b>PR1</b>
1.3B	Llansamlet Park and Ride Rail based Park and Ride facility by Llansamlet railway station – potential for 300 space car park at railway station. Bus linkages to rail station.	SD I	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD I. In consultation with CCoS Transport.		At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104: "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative funding sources would be required.	None	None	T1, T2, T3 and T4 in combination with Appendix 5. Measure <b>PR2</b>

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								E	SSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingenc provisions	y Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.3C	West Park and Ride Bus based Park & Ride for the west of the city centre, as specified in the Local Transport Plan (2015- 2020). Location not yet mapped.	N/A	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wou be required.	None Ild	None	T1, T2, T3 and T4 in combination with Appendix 5. Measure <b>PR3</b>
1.3D	High frequency Cross city bus or city-wide Swansea bus- rapid transit network and Corridor improvements. 4 to 5 cross-city high frequency (5 per hour) services - travelling through the city centre but not terminating there. Each corridor shall be subject to bus priority measures, including high quality bus stops (at a distance of around 500- 600m from each other – to minimise journey speed while retaining good catchment around stops) with real time information available to passengers.	Those within proximity to the scheme.	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD or H scheme In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources wou be required.	None Ild	None	T1, T2, T3 and T4 in combination with Appendix 5. Measure <b>PT1</b>

Infras	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	INTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non- delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
1.3E	<ul> <li>A less frequent orbital bus Service.</li> <li>A less frequent orbital bus service (minimum 2 per hour) connecting existing nodes and proposed developments;</li> <li>Less frequent orbital service linking <ul> <li>Morriston to Llansamlet,</li> <li>Llansamlet to the City Centre via Winch Wen, City Centre to Swansea University,</li> <li>University to Morriston via Llangyfelach.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Those within proximity to the scheme.	Costs to be established once specific measure agreed with CCoS	Developer	Developer to deliver as part of SD or H scheme In consultation with CCoS Transport.	Local Transport Plan.	At start of development or phasing to be agreed with CCoS Transport prior to permission.	ARUP Study p.104 "Investment in major public transport network improvements (to attract existing and newly generated journeys) will reduce the traffic flows across the network and hence mitigate the impact of LDP development. Public transport improvements (involving bus priority infrastructure on core corridors and investment in the services themselves) are a necessity to ensure that congestion on the road network can be minimised by providing travellers with an alternative to the private car."	Alternative Funding Sources would be required.	• None	None	T1, T2, T3 and T4 in combination with Appendix 5. Measure <b>PT2</b>

Intrast	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL REC	QUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	cial Infrastructure - 2.	1 Educatio	n					·	·			
stage to provisio 2. Provisio instance to ensur 3. Phase for and s light of f	tize of schools set out in the take account of most up to n of education infrastructure sion of Schools within the SI es where the level of provision that the development is co- ing is estimated in accordance supply of school places. On urther detailed masterplanni	date position of O Sites is show on/contribution ompliant with L ce with initial i -site provision ng work.	on education prov wn "red" to reflect s required is sub DP Policies. ndications set ou should be provid	vision. See Poli t that this is an e ject to further as t in the Strategic ded at relevant p	icy SI 3: Education white ssential requirement of sessment at the time of c Development Site Pol hases of developments	ch sets out further de the core design and f application. Orange icies and reflects Pol s. Additional forms of	tail of the process. See also placemaking policies which e notation does not denote t icy SI 3: Education which re entry should be made avail	o Appendix 1 to this scl underpin the allocation hat the infrastructure is quires careful phasing able where necessary.	hedule which sets n of SD sites. Educ an optional requir of school provisior Actual phasing of	out the background cation infrastructure ement. Such infra n in order to achiev the delivery of infr	d to the Counc e shown as ora structure is stil e a balance be astructure will	il's approach to ange reflects I required in ord etween demand be determined i
(having (and wh	ing and costs estimates set or regard to information from so ere appropriate current Plan	ite promotors ning Applicati	and stakeholders ons) provide the	s) of the costs an most up to date	nd phasing of infrastruc source of information of	ture associated with on requirements, phas	the development. The Hou sing and costing and these	sing Trajectory and Sta have been referred to v	tements of Comm	on Ground betwee ne notes column.	n CCoS and S	Site Promoters
which m	Policy SI 3, Para 2.7.17 for C hay be for a larger school the anguage medium of the scho	an the primary	v pupil numbers r	equire. The onu	is is then on the Counc	il to deliver the Second						ucation on site,
N/A	Band A school improvement programme	Countywide	N/A	Match funded; Swansea Council and Welsh Government	Swansea Council	Band A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Section 3 re existing approach and current position re-securing funding for	None	N/A
N/A	Band B school improvement programme	Countywide	N/A	Match funded; Swansea Council and Welsh Government	Swansea Council	Band B	N/A	N/A	N/A	Band Ā/B	None	N/A
2.1A	3 form entry Primary School on land north of Pontarddulais Comprehensive School.	SD A	£4.5-6m	<b>Developer</b> to deliver on- site as part of SD:A. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Not included in Band A or B.	<ul> <li>Phasing in accordance with Policy SD:A and Policy SI:3 and determined in light of further detailed masterplanning work.</li> <li>See Housing Trajectory for current position.</li> <li>Further updates to be provided by SOCG between CCoS and Site Promoter.</li> <li>Phasing suggested by IFVA: 2FE delivered by completion of 200 Units (Q4 2019-Q4 2020).</li> <li>Increased to 3FE by completion of 500th unit (Q3 2023 to Q2 2024).</li> <li>NB: 2FE required in early phases. Council may require increase to</li> </ul>	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	<ol> <li>School to be located to the south of the development on land north of Pontarddulais Comprehensive School.</li> <li>Must incorporate changing facilities available for use by community in association with school playing fields.</li> </ol>	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Development Requirements Policy SD:A an supporting para 2.3.17. Policy SI 3: Education

Infrastructure Delivery Plan ESSENTIAL REQUIRED								DELIVERED				
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Cont <mark>ingency</mark> provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
							3FE during later phases.					
2.1B	2.5 form entry Primary School at land north of Garden Village	SD B	£4.5-5.5m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:B. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Not included in Band A or B.	<ul> <li>Phasing in accordance with Policy SD:B and Policy SI:3 and determined in light of further detailed masterplanning work.</li> <li>See Housing Trajectory for current position. Further updates to be provided by SOCG between CCoS and Site Promoter.</li> <li>Phasing suggested by IFVA: 1.5FE Primary delivered by completion of 200th unit (Q4 2019 to Q2 2020). Remaining 1FE (Q1-Q2 2022).</li> </ul>	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	Hybrid planning application 2016/1478 approved subject to s106	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Development Requirements Policy SD:B and supporting para 2.3.25. Policy SI 3: Education
2.1C	3 form entry Primary School at land south of A4240 Parc Mawr, Penllergaer	SD C	£4.5-6m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:C. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Not included in Band A or B.	Phasing in accordance with Policy SD:C and Policy SI:3 and determined in light of further detailed masterplanning work. See Housing Trajectory for current position. Further updates to be provided by SOCG between CCoS and Site Promoter. Phasing suggested by IFVA: Nursery unit delivered on completion of 350th unit (Q1 2020- Q3 2021). 3FE Primary on completion of 600th unit (Q2 2023-Q1 2024)	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	See IFVA. Further updated information to be provided in SOCG.	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Policy SI 3: Education. Development Requirements Policy SD:C and supporting para 2.3.32.

FS	S
20	0

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan						ESSENTIAL REQUI		UIRED			
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.1D	2.5 form entry Primary School for Penderry at land west of Llangyfelach Road, Penderry.	SD D	£4.5-5.5m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:D. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Linked to Band B/C.	<ul> <li>Phasing in accordance with Policy SD:D and Policy SI:3 and determined in light of further detailed masterplanning work.</li> <li>See Housing Trajectory for current position.</li> <li>Further updates to be provided by SOCG between CCoS and Site Promoter.</li> <li>Phasing suggested by IFVA: 1FE Primary on completion of 150th unit (Q2 2018-Q2 2019).</li> <li>Increased to 2.5FE on completion of 400 homes (Q1 2020-Q4 2021)</li> </ul>	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106.	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Policy SI 3. Development Requirements Policy SD:D and supporting para 2.3.40.
2.1E	2 form entry Primary School at land north of Clasemont Road, Morriston	SD E	£4-5.5m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:E. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Not included in CCoS Education plans or current WG Band A schemes	Phasing in accordance with Policy SD:E and Policy SI:3 and determined in light of further detailed masterplanning work. See Housing Trajectory for current position. Further updates to be provided by SOCG between CCoS and Site Promoter. Phasing suggested by IFVA: 1FE Primary on completion of 200 unit (Q3 2020-Q1 2021).	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	None	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Policy SI 3. Development Requirements Policy SD:E and supporting para 2.3.47. See NSA 29 - re typo in SDE education requirements.
2.1F	2.5 form entry Primary School at land north west of M4 J46, Llangyfelach.	SD G	£4.5-5.5m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:G. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer / CCoS Education	Need generated by development. Not included in CCoS Education plans or current WG Band A schemes	Increased to 2FE on completion of 400th unit (Q1-Q2 2023) Phasing to be agreed with Council at appropriate stages of development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	None	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school	Policy SI 3. Development Requirements Policy SD:G.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSEM	ITIAL REC	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
											constructio n costs.	
2.1G	3 form entry Primary School at land north of Waunarlwydd / Fforestfach	SD H	£4.5-6m	Developer to deliver on- site as part of SD:H. In consultation with CCoS Education Department.	Developer	Need generated by development. Not included in CCoS Education plans or current WG Band A schemes	Provide at initial phases of the development aligned with the construction process, with additional forms of entry made available where necessary in later phases, as agreed by the Council. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application. Lack of school at the heart of the new community hubs to be created on site.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for the facility.	See Statement of Common Ground between CCoS and Site Promoters for SDH.	Range of anticipated costs reflects flexibilities required to arrive at precise figure having regard to school design and constructio n costs.	Policy SI 3. Development Requirements Policy SD:H and supporting paragraph 2.3.68
2.1H		SD F	To be determined in accordance with Planning Obligations SPG at time	Developer. Off-site financial contributions under s106 to existing	Relevant developers for Site/CCoS Education	No plans under Band A.	Phasing of financial contribution to be determined by s106 at application stage.	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to	Details of catchment schools will be provided in response to scheme details	None	Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:F.
2.11		SD I	of application and subject to viability.	Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.		No links to Band A or B.			examine opportunities for funding for facilities in the catchment area.	at detailed application stage. See Policy SI 3: Education, para		Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:I
2.1J	Upgrades / extensions to existing Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.	SD J				Not currently linked to Band A/B proposals				2.7.18 Contributions to increase and or improve catchment schools to		Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:J.
2.1K		SD K				Not currently linked to Band A/B proposals				accommodate the additional pupils arising from development on Non-Strategic		Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:K.
2.1L		SD L				Not currently linked to Band A/B proposals				Housing Site allocations sought where evidence of need within catchment area.		Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:L.

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL REC		DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.1M	Upgrades / extensions to existing Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.	Policy H1:1 to Policy H1: 42	To be determined in accordance with Planning Obligations SPG at time of application and subject to viability.	Developer. Off-site financial contributions under s106 to existing Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.	Relevant developers for Site / CCoS Education	Not currently linked to Band A/B proposals	Phasing of financial contribution to be determined by \$106 at application stage.	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for facilities in the catchment area.	Details of catchment schools will be provided in response to scheme details at detailed application stage. See Policy SI 3: Education, para 2.7.18 Contributions to increase and or improve catchment schools to accommodate the additional pupils arising from development on Non-Strategic Housing Site allocations sought where evidence of need within catchment area.	None	Policy SI 3 Para 2.7.18
2.1N	Upgrades / extensions to existing Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.	H1:21	Contribution in accordance with Application: 2017/1451/ OUT	Developer. Off-site financial contributions under s106 to existing Primary and Secondary schools in the catchment area.	Relevant developers for Site / CCoS Education	Not currently linked to Band A/B proposals	Phasing in accordance with Application: 2017/1451/ OUT.	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine opportunities for funding for facilities in the catchment area.	Application: 2017/1451/OUT 2018/1894/RES		Policy SI 3 Para 2.7.18
2.10	Secondary Education contributions for specific Strategic Development Sites.	SD D	Estimated £6.8m	Developer - Off site Financial contributions to CCoS for secondary education.	Developer / CCoS Education	Band A/Band B proposals	Phasing suggested by IFVA: Financial contributions to Secondary education (Q4 2025 - Q1 2030)	Potential strain on catchment schools which may be at capacity at the time of application.	Council would need to review future WG funding programme submissions to examine	Secondary contributions are identified specifically on these two sites, given that the number of units	These values are estimated costs reflect existing s106	Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:D.

ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions
2.1P		SD H	Estimated £2.5m	Developer - Off site Financial contributions to CCoS for secondary education.	Developer / CCoS Education	Band A/Band B proposals	Phasing suggested by IFVA: Financial contributions to Secondary education (Q4 2025-Q1 2030)		opportunities for funding for facilities in the catchment area.

### 2. Social Infrastructure - 2.2 Green Infrastructure

**Notes:** Provision of Green infrastructure is shown "red" to reflect that it is an essential requirement of the core design and placemaking policies which underpin the allocation of SD sites. Sub-elem space and biodiversity enhancements) are essential requirements of the schemes, but require further assessment to determine the level and nature of provision. In such instances where further a is shown "orange" to reflect the need for further information at the planning application stage. "Orange" notation does not denote that the infrastructure is an optional requirement. Such infrastruct development is compliant with LDP Policies.

### 2.2.1 Green Infrastructure/Accessible Natural Greenspace (ANGs)

2.2.1ACreate a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDA.SD ATo be provided through on- site provisionDeveloperDeveloperGreen infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments.To be provided as part of the development.Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of deleivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the site in accordance with detailed GI a linear park along the route of the high pressure water main.SD ATo be provided the provisionDeveloperDeveloperGreen infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments.To be provided as part of the development.Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site adopted as SPG)None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the strategic Site adopted as SPG)To be informed by emerging Green lnfrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)To be informed by emerging Green lnfrastructure strategy (to be adopted as SPG)None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the design and layo

ESSE

EN		REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED
	Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	justifies a contributio over and a the contrib to a Prima School. Secondary contributio may span beyond th period, giv that the to number of on the site be deliver beyond 20	above oution ary y ons e plan ven tal f units e will ed	contributio ns contributio n based on number of units. Contributio n in addition to required Primary School (see above).	Policy SI 3 Development Requirements Policy SD:H.
as	sessmenti	is requir	nfrastructure ( ed the infrastr n order to ens	ucture provision
	None		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2:
				Masterplanning Principles
				Policy SD:A, para 2.3.18.

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ES	ENTIAL R	EQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.1B	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDB. To include: • Provision of suitable replacement land for Mynydd Garngoch Common, CL44, ensuring the replacement land has full public access to ensure public rights for air and exercise, including access on foot and horseback. • Buffer area to immediate north of Garden Village to protect and enhance setting and act as prominent central area of community parkland.	SD B	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Hybrid plannir application 2016/1478 approved subject to s10		Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD:B para 2.3.27.
2.2.1C	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDC. To include: • provision of a Village Green with new planting and a LEAP, set within a prominent green copse within the east west Green Corridor • provisions of a major east west Green Corridor with new and retained planting, a NEAP, informal and formal recreation, play for older children, kick about areas and shared pedestrian cycle routes	SD C	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD:C

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.1D	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDD. To include: • opportunities for active travel creation of a series of e-w linear parks as key features of the site, retaining existing trees and hedgerows, integrating landscape and protecting biodiversity, including appropriate landscaping • SUDs • formal and informal play and recreation.	SD D	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106. S106 negotiations to include consideration of GI provision	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles
2.2.1E	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDE. To include: • linear green spaces which correspond with service easements • retention of existing trees and hedgerows within the public realm with appropriate landscaping and habitat creation.	SD E	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles. Policy SD:E

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS	ENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2F	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SDF. To include: • retention of existing trees and hedgerows within the public realm, and introduce appropriate landscaping and habitat creation.	SD F	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles. Policy SD:F
2.2.1G	Create a multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD G, with a particular emphasis on retaining trees and strengthening existing hedgerows, and appropriate landscaping and habitat creation. To include: • retention of mature trees conservation of important existing habitats and opportunities for biodiversity enhancement. • creation of a village green/public realm as the focus of the new settlement.	SD G	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles. Policy SD:G, Para 2.3.62
2.2.1H	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD H. To include: • New east-west linear park and nature reserve along the River Llan. To integrate the landscape, protect biodiversity, include appropriate	SD H	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD H, Para 2.3.69

	ructure Delivery Plan		·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	<ul> <li>landscaping and opportunities for formal and informal play, recreation and Active Travel)</li> <li>Public open space providing buffer area between the employment area and new residential district.</li> </ul>							sustainability and well-being.				
2.2.11	<ul> <li>Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD I. To include:</li> <li>Provide a major east west Green Corridor with new and retained trees and hedgerows, appropriate new landscaping, formal and informal play provision, and Active Travel.</li> </ul>	SD I	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD I.
2.2.1J	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD J. To include: • Improved accessibility of the beach and water space and associated infrastructure at Swansea Bay and River Tawe • Provide opportunities for new and improved areas of public realm, incorporating space for public art, Green Infrastructure, play, events and activities.	SD J	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD:J

ID

Infrastructure Scheme Related

Anticipated Funding

		Allocated Site	Costs (£)	Source	responsible for delivery	priorities, schedules and plans		non-delivery	provisions
2.2.1K	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD K. To include: • The canal route should be safeguarded and enhanced with appropriate Green Infrastructure, appropriate landscaping and Active Travel routes.	SD K	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development
2.2.1L	Create a connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER2, SD2 and SD L. To include: • Maximise access to river corridor as key area of public realm and green infrastructure • Provide for river boat travel with pontoons at Morfa Stadium and Hafod Morfa Copperworks (linking to pontoons at Sailbridge site) • Enhance East bank of river as a linear park with improved public access • Enhance White Rock as a Heritage Park through landscaping and access works.	SDL	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development
2.2.1M	Provide green infrastructure network throughout the site in accordance with Policy ER 2.	All Non- Strategic Developm ent Sites	To be provided through on- site provision	Developer	Developer	Green infrastructure provision to be determined in accordance with	To be provided as part of development.	Sites fail to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and	Council would need to review future funding of green infrastructure provision on

Parties

Relationship to

Likely Phasing

ESSE

Summary Risks of Contingency

N	ITIAL REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED
	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space. Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD K.
	None	None	Policy SI 6: Provision of Open Space Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles Policy SD L, Para 2.3.89.
	All non-strategic development site allocations will be required to meet Green Infrastructure	None	Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network.

ESSE

Infrastructure Scl	neme Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions
					detailed GI Assessments. To be informed by emerging Green Infrastructure Strategy (to be adopted as SPG)		green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	non-strategic sites.

## 2.2.2 Children's Play, Destination Play Areas and Teen Facilities

### Notes:

1. Infrastructure requirements for Play are estimated based on the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standards document. Exact requirements are subject to negotiation with individual site Pron mix of open space typologies (LAPs/LEAPs/NEAPs/MUGAs) may be varied to those set out in the schedule below, in order to reflect the exact nature of each allocated site and information arising negotiations are at an advanced stage/applications have been received, this is reflected in the "Notes" column.

### Local Areas for Play (LAP)

2.2.2A	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD A	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."
2.2.2B	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD B	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted

N	ITIAL	REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED
	Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	requirem where appropria Requirem will be establish through a Green Infrastruc Assessm See Sect for furthe backgrou informatic the proce GI Assessm	ate. nents ed ture ent. ion 3 r nd on on ss of		
	7.00000111			
			ed application ssessments.	stage when the Where
				_
	See IFVA early assumpti LAP requirem	ons on ents.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:A
	Hybrid pli applicatio 2016/147 approved subject to	n 8	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:B

	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE		UIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
									sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."			
2.2.2C	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD C	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:C
2.2.2D	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD D	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements. Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106. S106 negotiation to include consideration of GI provision	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:D
2.2.2E	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning	SD E	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:E

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSEM	NTIAL REC		DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	information at the application stage.					Open Space Strategy.		Strategic Site allocations.	statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."			
2.2.2F	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD F	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:F
2.2.2G	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD G	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:G
2.2.2H	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD H	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:H

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
									commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."			
2.2.21	Provision of LAP's in accordance with the FiT guidance set out in the Six Acre Standard Document. Level of provision to be determined in light of masterplanning information at the application stage.	SD I	To be provided pre- dominantly through on- site provision	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:I
2.2.2J	The FIT standards recommended relate to residential developments	SD J	Unknown	Developer (if required)	Developer (if required)	No guidance on non-residential uses.	If required, phasing of delivery to be provided as part of the		N/A	N/A	N/A	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space.
2.2.2K	involving non-specialist residential use. The standards need to be reviewed for the type of	SD K					development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in					
2.2.2L	development proposed on this site.	SD L					Housing Trajectory.					
Local E	quipped Area for Play (LE	AP)						•				
Notes: Costs es	timated at about £50k per L	EAP. Subiect	to further refiner	nent in light of m	nasterplanning details.							
	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD A	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision made as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:A

See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision made as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:A

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL REG		DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2N	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD B	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for early assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision. Hybrid planning application 2016/1478 approved subject to s106	Subject to s106 negotiatio ns.	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:B
2.2.20	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD C	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:C
2.2.2P	Minimum of 6 LEAPs	SD D	300,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	See IFVA for early assumptions on LEAP requirements. Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106. S106 negotiation to include consideration of GI provision	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:D

	ructure Delivery Plan				_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ESSE		REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2Q	Minimum of 3 LEAPs	SD E	150,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:E
2.2.2R	Minimum of 2 LEAPs	SD F	100,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:F
2.2.2S	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD G	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision."	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:G
2.2.2T	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD H	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of	Policy SI 6:, para 2.7.30: "Where FiT	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space.

										ESSEL
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery		ingency isions
						Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	not ac Devel requir provic expla stater Coun ask fo comm sums upgra existin	anatory ment. ncil may or nuted s for ade of ing nearby space
2.2.2U	Minimum of 4 LEAPs	SD I	200,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	para 2 "Whe stand not ac Devel requir provid expla stater Coun ask fo comm sums upgra existin	ired to de anatory ment. ncil may or nuted s for ade of ing nearby space
2.2.2V	The FIT standards recommended relate to residential developments	SD J SD K	_	Developer (if required)	Developer (if required)	No guidance on non-residential uses.	If required, phasing of delivery to be provided as part of the	N/A	N/A	
2.2.2W	involving non-specialist residential use. The standards need to be	SDK					development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application			
2.2.2X	reviewed for the type of development proposed on this site.	SD L					stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.			
-	urhood Equipped Area of	Play (NEAP)								
Notes: 1. Costs	estimated at about £80k pe	er NEAP. Actua	al costs will be s	ubject to further i	refinement in light of ma	asterplanning details.				
2.2.2Y	1 NEAP	SD A	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the	para 2 Wher stand not ac Devel requir provid	y SI 6: 2.7.30: re FiT dards are chieved, eloper ired to de anatory

ESSE

N	ITIAL	REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED
	Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
				Policy SD:H
	None		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:I
	None		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space.
	See IFV	A for		Policy SI 6.
	assumption extent of requirement and phas NEAP pro-	ons on ents ing for		Provision of Open Space Policy SD:A

### . .

E	S	SI

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
						Open Space Strategy.		Strategic Site allocations.	statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See SOCG for most up to date position		
2.2.2Z	1 NEAP	SD B	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for early assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision. Hybrid planning application 2016/1478 approved subject to s106	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:B
2.2.2A A	1 NEAP	SD C	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for NEAP provision SOCG to provide most up to dated position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:C

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSEM			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2A B	2 NEAPs	SD D	160,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for early assumptions on NEAP requirements. Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106. S106 negotiation to include consideration of GI provision	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:D
2.2.2A C	1 NEAP	SD E	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for NEAP provision SOCG to provide most up to date position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:E
2.2.2A D	1 NEAP	SD F	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for NEAP provision SOCG to provide most up to date position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:F

IIIIası	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL REC	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2A E	1 NEAP	SD G	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	SOCG to provide most up to date position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:G
2.2.2A F	1 NEAP	SD H	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	SOCG to provide most up to date position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:H
2.2.2A G	1 NEAP	SD I	80,000	Developer	Developer - On site	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:I
2.2.2A H	FiT Standards do not relate to the non- residential uses	SD J		Developer (if required)	Developer (if required)	No guidance on non-residential uses.	If required, phasing of delivery to be provided as part of the	N/A	N/A	None	None	N/A

Infrasti	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	NTIAL REC	UIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.2AI	proposed on these sites. Requirements to be considered in light of masterplanning details.	SD K	-				development scheme at stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in					
2.2.2AJ		SD L					Housing Trajectory.					
Playing	Fields											
<b>lotes:</b> . Plavin	g Fields provided in accorda	ance with FIT	auidelines for pla	aving pitches wh	ich take into account p	provision per populatio	n and accessibility issues a	t the time of application	into account. Th	e level of provision	required will b	e determined
when det	tailed site masterplanning is	received and	an assessment	of the current le	vels of provision is mad	de. Costs will therefor	re be determined when leve	I of provision is known.		•	-	
2.2.2A <	Changing facilities available for community use in association with School Playing fields.	SD A	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for playing field provision made as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:A
2.2.2AL	Playing pitches delivered as part of 2.5 form entry Primary school to incorporate changing facilities available to for community use in association with the school playing fields	SD B	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for early assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for LEAP provision. Draft s106 Heads of Terms currently being agreed for planning application 2016/1478. SOCG to provide most up to date position.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:B
2.2.2A /	Off-site contribution towards improvements to pitches and facilities at Gors Common, including required drainage measures.	SD C	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for playing field provision made	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:C

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
			provision required.			Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.		networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.		
2.2.2A N	Provide 2 formal pitches and changing rooms to the North of the site as a focal point in the neighbourhood to be managed by local sports clubs/ Community Council or third party.	SD D	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for early assumptions on LAP requirements. GI provision subject to current ongoing discussions relating to Planning Application: 2017/1822/ OUT.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:D
2.2.2A O	Provide new pitches as accessible focal point within the new neighbourhood.	SD E	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for playing field provision made as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.	None	Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD:E
2.2.2A P	Provide sport pitches on- site, potentially through the improvement of the existing pitch (or areas to the north).	SD F	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for playing field provision made as a result of ongoing	None	Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD:F

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
								Strategic Site allocations. SD F: Para 2.3.56 "The existing pitch on the hospital site is identified as a key element of outdoor sports facilities in the Open Space Assessment for Sketty Ward. Without this pitch there is a significant deficiency of accessible pitch space on the northern part of the ward."	Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	discussions with Site Promoters.		
2.2.2A Q	Locate pitches as accessible focal points in the new neighbourhood.	SD G	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	None	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:G
2.2.2A R	New pitches will be provided as accessible focal point within the new neighbourhoods.	SD H	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.	See IFVA for assumptions on extent of requirements and phasing for playing field provision made as a result of ongoing discussions with Site Promoters.	None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:H

# Infrastructure Delivery Plan

ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions
2.2.2A S	Provision of sports opportunities on site with potential for accessible off-site improvements at the Ashlands playing field.	SD K	To be confirmed in light of detailed assessment of level of provision required.	Developer	Developer	In accordance with FiT Guidance: Six Acre Standard. Council's Open Space Assessment and Consultation Draft Open Space Strategy.	To be provided as part of the development scheme at appropriate stages to be agreed at the detailed application stage. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and green infrastructure networks throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	Policy SI 6: para 2.7.30: Where FiT standards are not achieved, Developer required to provide explanatory statement. Council may ask for commuted sums for upgrade of existing nearby open space provision.
2.2.3 Bio	odiversity Measures and E	nvironmenta	I Enhancement	s				•	
	are required to meet the req s set out below relate to thos							clude the requirement	to submit and agre
2.2.3A	Implement a range of potential environmental enhancements at the existing Pontarddulais Industrial Estate.	SD A	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	None	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to placemaking	None. To be provided as part of the development.
2.2.3B	<ul> <li>Implement a range of biodiversity measures and environmental enhancements.</li> <li>Including:</li> <li>Submit and agree ecological management plans including proposals for mitigation, enhancement and maintenance for retained habitats and protected species (including for bats and dormouse) and provide appropriate compensatory and replacement habitat.</li> <li>Protection of water quality of the River Llan and its tributaries where they cross the site</li> </ul>	SD B	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Swansea Local Biodiversity Action Plan Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.

ESSE

N		REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED		
	Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement		
	None		None	Policy SI 6. Provision of Open Space. Policy SD:I		
Э	e ecological	manag	ement plans.	Infrastructure		
	None		None	Policy SD:A Policy SD 2: Placemaking		
	See IFVA early assumptio extent of requireme and phasin Draft s106 Heads of T currently b agreed for planning application 2016/1478 SOCG to p most up to position.	ns on nts ng. Ferms eing s. brovide	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD B, Para 2.3.28.		

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESS			DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.3C	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Planting and long term management of Green Corridors</li> <li>Enhancement of retained wet semi improved fields to the north east for biodiversity.</li> <li>Incorporate Air Mitigation measures into development where necessary</li> </ul>	SD C	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC). RD22 Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Action Plans in Swansea (2004) RD23 Local Air Quality Management Reports	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD C. RP 2
2.2.3D	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including</li> <li>Retain and provide suitable buffers to habitats, trees, hedgerows and SINC.</li> <li>Exclude SINC from development and create suitable buffer strip along the western and northern site edge:</li> </ul>	SD D	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	Current Planning Application: 2017/1822/ OUT.	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD D.

ES	S
LU	

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE		REQUIRED	DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.3E	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Retain and provide suitable buffers to habitats, trees, hedgerows and wetlands, meadow common land and SINCs on the edge of the site. Exclude SINC from development and provide appropriate management</li> <li>Protection, enhancement, and additional habitat creation in the mixed deciduous woodland nature reserve to the North of the site</li> <li>Appropriate management of remaining species rich neutral grassland will be required to encourage floristic diversity as lowland meadow grasslands</li> </ul>	SD E	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD E.
2.2.3F	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Manage area to the North East of the site as nature reserve</li> <li>Retain 11.8 ha of land on the ridgeline to the north of the hospital which is subject to a legal agreement relating to use for recreation, open space, landscape and wildlife conservation purposes only.</li> <li>Incorporate Air Mitigation measures into development where necessary.</li> </ul>	SD F	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC). RD22 Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Action Plans in Swansea (2004) RD23 Local Air Quality Management Reports	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD F. RP 2: Air Noise and Light Pollution

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE		REQUIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
	<ul> <li>Submit and agree ecological management plans including proposals for mitigation, enhancement and maintenance for retained habitats and protected species (including for bats and dormouse) and provide appropriate compensatory and replacement habitat</li> </ul>											
2.3G	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Retain and provide suitable buffers to habitats, particularly trees, hedgerows and SINCs within the site.</li> <li>Manage and enhance retained area to the North West of the Village as a nature reserve.</li> <li>Retain, enhance and manage mature woodlands on the site and provide appropriate public access.</li> </ul>	SD G	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD G.

### . . Dalla

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan									ESSE	NTIAL	REQU		DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contir provis	ngency sions	Notes		Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.3H	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Provide a minimum of 7m development free buffer to allow for access for maintenance of the River Llan.</li> <li>Public open space should form part of a buffer area between the employment area and new residential district.</li> <li>Retention and enhancement of significant areas of SINCs (212 and 30) and significant areas of priority habitats including wet woodland and purple moor grass and rush pasture.</li> </ul>	SD H	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. provide part of develo	ed as the	None		None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD H. Para 2.3.70.
2.2.31	<ul> <li>Implement a range of biodiversity measures and environmental enhancements including:</li> <li>Protection and enhancement of Llansamlet Nature Reserve and Llansamlet Ecology Park and manage invasive species across the area in accordance with agreed management plans.</li> <li>Address ground condition issues</li> </ul>	SD I		Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC). RD25 Swansea Contaminated Land Inspection Strategy (2005)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience. Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in significant risks to public health.	None. provide part of develo	ed as the	None		None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD I. RP 5: Land Contamination Policy RP6: Land Instability

-	C	C	
ᄃ	J	J	l

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSE	ENTIAL RE		DELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.2.3J	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including</li> <li>Management of foreshore and sand dunes</li> <li>Protection and enhancement of River Tawe Riparian Corridor</li> </ul>	SD J		Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD J.
2.2.3K	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including</li> <li>Incorporate Noise and Air mitigation measures into developments where necessary (including fronting Fabian Way and the railway line).:</li> <li>Separate dock operations from more vulnerable receptor uses through provision of buffer uses</li> </ul>	SD K	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	RD22 Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Action Plans in Swansea (2004) RD23 Local Air Quality Management Reports RD24 A Noise Action Plan for Wales 2013- 2018, WG	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD K
2.2.3L	<ul> <li>Implement a range of enhancements for biodiversity. Including:</li> <li>Retention, enhancement and management of the Tawe Riverside SINC, along with the provision of opportunities for priority species and habitat creation.</li> <li>Appropriate levels of bat surveys where demolition or alteration of buildings is proposed</li> </ul>	SD L		Developer	Developer	Detailed biodiversity assessments at the planning application stage - informed by SEWales Biodiversity Record Centre (SEWBREC).	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to maintaining ecological resilience.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	None	None	Policy ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity. Policy SD L.
	on-Strategic Site allocations ns measures identified throu							ant LDP Policies. Sites	s set out below ar	e where specific is	sues have bee	n highlighted and
2.2.3M	Address noise pollution issues re proximity to Toyoda plant.	H1:19 Land east of Pontardula is Road		Developer	Developer	RD22 Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Action Plans in Swansea (2004)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in	None. To be provided as part of the development.	See phasing in Housing Trajectory site information.	None	Policy RP 2 Air, Noise and Light Pollution.

D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
						RD23 Local Air Quality Management Reports RD24 A Noise Action Plan for Wales 2013- 2018, WG		significant risks to public health.				
2.2.3N	Stabilisation of old mine workings to improve public safety.	H1.21		Developer	Developer	RD25 Swansea Contaminated Land Inspection Strategy (2005)	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in significant risks to public health.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	See Policy H1 development requirements.	None	RP 6: Land Instability
.2.30	Land remediation required for brownfield site.	H1:22		Developer	Developer	RD25 Swansea Contaminated Land Inspection Strategy (2005)	Prior to commencement of development. See Phasing trajectory.	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in significant risks to public health.	None. To be provided as part of the development.	Site information in Housing Trajectory indicates that demolition and clearance works commissioned.	None	RP 5: Land Contaminatior

# Infrastructure Delivery Plan

									LUUL
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions
2.0 So	cial Infrastructure – 2.	.3 Commur	nity and Comr	nercial Uses	;				
Notes:	nunity infrastructure and cor	nmercial uses	are shown as "re	d" to reflect the	ir role as an essential e	element of the design	and placemaking requirem	ents of the Strategic De	evelonment sites
2.3A	Community hub not required within the site. Site required to create sustainable extension, well connected to existing facilities. To include: • Retention and integration of existing farm buildings for sustainable uses	SD A	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development
2.3B	<ul> <li>Community hub to include</li> <li>commercial floor space at ground floor level located in area near to school/at the nodal point.</li> <li>potential Primary Healthcare facility</li> </ul>	SD B	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development

ESSE

SEN	NTIAL	REQ	UIRED	DELIVERED			
	Notes		Cost Note	e	Policy Requirement		
S.							
	None		None		Policy SD:A		
	Hybrid pla application 2016/1473 approved to s106 Negotiatic s106 to in discussion how provi commerci space cou potentially used to pr a primary healthcare facility.	n 3 subject on on clude n of sion of al ild v be rovide	None		Policy SD:B Para 2.3.4		

### . . Dalla .....

Infrast	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSI	ESSENTIAL REQUIRED		
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.3C	<ul> <li>New community hub to Penllergaer to include</li> <li>mixed uses with active frontages in northern part of site.</li> <li>New community facility utilising the existing farmhouse building, to provide space 'for hire' by groups and individuals and to be developed in association with opportunities for allotments and food growing.</li> <li>potential Primary Healthcare facility</li> <li>potential Extra Care home facility</li> </ul>	SD C	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy ER:2 Green Infrastructure Network. Policy SD:C, Para 2.3.36
2.3D	<ul> <li>New district centre</li> <li>with commercial units and/or community uses with residential above. New local centre uses will be provided adjacent to and facing Clasemont Road adjacent to Long View Road junction to accommodate a range of retail, business and community facilities with active frontages and residential above.</li> <li>potential Primary Healthcare facility</li> <li>potential Extra Care home facility</li> </ul>	SD D	To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations. Impacts on contribution made by site to sustainability and well-being.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Outline Planning Application: re SD D - 2017/1822/OUT approved subject to s106.	None	Policy SD D.

	tructure Delivery Plan				1		_			NTIAL	REQUIRED	DELIVERED
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
2.3E	<ul> <li>New Community hub/new local centre provided adjacent to and facing Clasemont Road adjacent to Long View Road junction</li> <li>to include commercial units/new community uses with residential above.</li> <li>co-located with new Primary School adjacent to Clasemont Road</li> </ul>	SD E	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SD E.
2.3F	New district centre with commercial units and new community buildings as part of new local hub.	SD G	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SD G.
2.3G	New local centre for Tregof Village Development • Infill within Peniel Green Community for combination of residential / convenience retails and locally focussed commercial opportunities.	SD I	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SD I.
2.3H	New local centre at the rear of Bevans Row. Small scale local commercial & convenience units.	SD K	Developer	Developer	N/A	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	To be provided as part of the development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	Site fails to meet the LDP's objectives of delivering high standards of placemaking and community infrastructure provision throughout the Strategic Site allocations.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	None	None	Policy SD K.

## Infra

H1:27

H1:33 H1:34 H1:35 H1:41

H5:2, H5:3

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan	1							ESSENTI	AL REQU	JIRED	DELIVERED
)	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	e Policy Requireme
.0 Util	ities				•							
otes:												
Staten	nents of Common Ground	between CCoS	and DCWW, and C	COS and Site Pr	romoters will be prep	pared for submission for	the relevant Examination	n Hearing Session and w	ill provide the most	up to date positi	on.	
i • I • I • I • I • I • I • I • I • I	Low Cost - Where the water s of a density which will like Medium Costs: Where the problems associated with the provision of the Water Indu generated from the develop High Costs: Reinforcement density proposed and the end have entered into a S106 T dependant on the unit cost dix 3 of DCWW SOCG will	ely make it econ e water mains ar ne water supply stry Act 1991 ca oment over a pe at works to Wate extent of reinforc &CP Act Agreen per property. Th I provide a full e	iomically viable to id/or public sewers (low pressure) and in apply – [Section riod of 12 years. T in Treatment Works ement works requi ment to fund the ne ine Water Industry A xplanation of the re	procure. are either:- a) lo d/or the public se s 41-44 'Duty to herefore, develop s (WTW) and Wa red it may be fea ecessary reinforc Act does not allow equisition provisio	ocated further away a werage network (floo comply with water m pers' contribution ma aste Water Treatmen asible for developers sement works at a W w the Requisition pro ons under Water Ind	and that the distance for oding / size) and these w ain requisition' and Sect by or may not be applical t Works (WwTW) are no to fund the reinforcement wTW to enable their dev povisions/offsetting of inco ustry Act 1991	connection would result yould need to be resolve tions 98-101 'Duty to cor ble. yrmally undertaken by Dv nt works in advance of D yelopment to connect in i ome for reinforcement wo	in higher costs to procure d to allow the developme mply with sewer requisitio wr Cymru Welsh Water th OCWW planned Regulator isolation in advance of DC orks to WTW and WwTW	e e.g. offsite water nt to proceed. For n']. Whereby the rough its Asset Ma ry investment. DCV CWW regulatory inv	distances of 500 above medium c cost of the schen nagement Plan. VW have several vestment. It is ho	m; b) where the cost instances, the is offset by the That said, dependent examples of w wever a decision	ere may be the requisition ne income andant on the here developer on for them to m
orks fro Devel	olic utilities infrastructure w om DCWW. opment in Gowerton WwT ities - Waste Water a	W catchment m	ust also accord wit	h the Bury Inlet I	Memorandum of Unc	derstanding agreed betw		<b>.</b> .	0	e AMP, s106 cor	ntributions and	requisitioning o
3.1A	Gowerton WwTW: No issues in the WwTW accommodating the foul flows from the LDP allocations	SD B, SD C, SD D, SD G, SD H, H1:19 H1:20 H1:21 H1:22 H1:22 H1:23 H1:24 H1:26	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCG confirms that investment schemes completed at Gowerton WwTW during the previous <b>AMP5</b> period (following the adoption of the UDP) have created	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCO will confirm the there are no issues in the WwTW accommodatin the foul flows from the LDP allocations	at	N/A

sufficient hydraulic and biological capacity to accommodate the allocated growth proposed in the LDP.

h the AMP, s106	contributions and	requisitioning of
-----------------	-------------------	-------------------

DCWW SOCG will confirm that there are no issues in the WwTW accommodating the foul flows from the LDP allocations	N/A	N/A

Infrastr	ucture Delivery Plan								ESSENTIA	AL REQUIR	ED DI	ELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
3.1B	Maintenance scheme at <b>Reynoldston</b> <b>WwTW</b>	H5:1	See DCWW SOCG: High cost if developer funded.	DCWW or Developer	DCWW or Developer	A maintenance scheme is set for delivery in <b>AMP6</b> which will create capacity for LDP growth. Set for completion by 31st March 2020.	DCWW SOCG advises that communication of flows from development in this catchment is managed to align with the completion of the scheme. Set for 31st March 2020.	Insufficient capacity at WwTW to accommodate foul flows generated by development. Reinforcement works must be complete before site can connect.	Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered as part of AMP6 (scheduled for 31st March 2020) or alternatively fund the works themselves via s106 of the T&CPAct 1990.	DCWW SOCG will confirm WwTW is currently at capacity and cannot accommodate any additional flows.	DCWW SOCG Appendix 2 to show High Costs if developer funded	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Development.
3.1C	Reinforcement works at Southgate Hael Lane WwTW	H5:4	See DCWW SOCG: High cost if developer funded.	DCWW (future AMP programmes) or Developers	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within <b>AMP6.</b> Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in <b>AMP7</b> .	Reinforcement works must be complete before site can connect. Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP programmes or alternatively fund the works themselves via s106 of the T&CPAct 1990.	Insufficient capacity at WwTW to accommodate foul flows generated by development. Reinforcement works must be complete before site can connect.	Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP investment or alternatively fund the works themselves via s106 of the T&CPAct 1990.	DCWW SOCG will confirm Southgate Hael Lane WwTW is currently at capacity and cannot accommodate any additional flows with no scheme proposed for delivery in AMP 6.	DCWW SOCG Appendix 2 to show High Costs if developer funded	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Development.
3.1D	Swansea Bay WwTW	SD E H5: 5 H5: 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCG will confirm that investment schemes completed at Swansea Bay WwTW during the previous <b>AMP5</b> period (following the adoption of the UDP) have created sufficient hydraulic and biological capacity to accommodate the allocated growth proposed in the LDP.	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCG will confirm that there are no issues in the WwTW accommodating the foul flows from the LDP Allocations.	N/A	N/A

# Infractructure Delivery Pla

ESS
-----

Infrast	ructure Delivery Plan								ESSENTIA		RED D	ELIVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirement
3.1E	Reinforcement works at Llanant WwTW	SD A , H1:25 H1:28 H1:29 H1:30 H1:31 H1:32	DCWW SOCG: High cost if developer funded.	DCWW (future AMP programmes) or Developers.	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within <b>AMP6</b> . Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in <b>AMP7</b> .	Insufficient capacity at WwTW to accommodate foul flows generated by all allocations proposed. Reinforcement works must be complete before all allocations can connect.	Insufficient capacity at WwTW to accommodate foul flows generated by all allocations proposed. Reinforcement works must be complete before all allocations can connect.	Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP investment or alternatively fund the works themselves via s106 of the T&CPAct 1990.	DCWW have indicated that WwTW has limited capacity to accommodate the foul flow from the total LDP growth proposed. Whilst capacity is available to take some of the flows, reinforcement works will be required at the WwTW if all the growth proposed in the LDP is to be realised with no schemes planned for delivery within AMP6.	DCWW SOCG Appendix 2 to show High Costs if developer funded	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Development.

Infras											DEL	
D	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requirem nt
3.0 U1	ilities - Waste Water and P	ublic Sewe	erage Network	a – 3.2 Foul Networ	rk							
3.2A	On and off-site measures including any appropriate reinforcement works to the public sewerage network. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7. Alternatively, developers can fund necessary reinforcement works themselves identified through the commissioning of a Hydraulic Modelling Assessment.	SD A, SD B, SD C, SD D, SD E: SD F: SD G, SD H, SD L, SD L, H1:12 H1: 35 H5:1	DCWW SOCG: Medium Cost if developer funded	DCWW or Developers. Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP programmes or alternatively fund the works themselves.	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within AMP 6. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7.	Required reinforcement works must be in place prior to commencement of development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	DCWW SOCG will confirm that requirements are normal routine prerequisites for developers in the progress of development sites and are not expected to impede delivery of site allocation.	Developer can requisition DCWW to carry out reinforcement works in advance of AMP7.	DCWW SOCG: To confirm that due to the size of the development a Hydraulic Modelling Assessment will be required in order to determine the extent of off-site sewers/reinforce ment works required.	DCWW SOCG Appendix 2 to show Medium costs if developer funded	Policy EU4 Public Utilities an New Development. SD Policie SD:A though SD:L (and relevant NSA proposed amendment s: NS18)
3.2B	No network reinforcement works required	SD J	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCG: Will confirm <b>no</b> <b>problems</b> <b>envisaged</b> with the public sewerage network accommodating domestic flows from the proposed development.	N/A	N/A
3.2C	Reinforcement works to resolve isolated incidents of flooding in the public sewerage system. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7. Alternatively, developers can fund necessary reinforcement works themselves which will be identified through the commissioning of a Hydraulic Modelling Assessment.	H1: 36	DCWW SOCG: Medium Cost if developer funded	DCWW or Developers. Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP programmes or alternatively fund the works themselves.	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within AMP 6. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7.	Required reinforcement works must be in place prior to commencement of development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	DCWW SOCG confirms that requirements are normal routine prerequisites for developers in the progress of development sites and are not expected to impede delivery of site allocation.	Developer can requisition DCWW to carry out reinforcement works in advance of AMP7.	DCWW SOCG: There are isolated incidents of flooding in the public sewerage system that will need to be resolved to allow development to proceed.	DCCW SOCG Appendix 2. to show Medium Cost if developer funded	Policy EU4 Public Utilities and New Development

iiiiras	tructure Delivery Plan								ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DELI	VERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requireme nt
3.3A	On and off-site measures including any appropriate reinforcement works to the water network. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7. Alternatively, developers can fund necessary reinforcement works themselves identified through the commissioning of a Hydraulic Modelling Assessment.	SD A, SD B, SD C, SD D, SD E, SD F, SD G, SD H, SD I, SD J, SD K	Medium	DCWW or Developers. Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP programmes or alternatively fund the works themselves.	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within AMP 6. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7.	Required reinforcement works must be in place prior to commencement of development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	DCWW SOCG will confirm that requirements are normal routine prerequisites for developers in the progress of development sites and are not expected to impede delivery of site allocation.	Developer can requisition DCWW to carry out reinforcement works in advance of AMP7.	DCWW SOCG will confirm that a water supply can be made available to service the Strategic Site allocations. However, an assessment will be required in order to understand the extent of off-site mains / reinforcement works required.	DCWW SOCG App 2 to show Medium costs if developer funded	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Developme nt SD Policies SD:A though SD:L (and relevant NSA proposed amendment s: NS18)
3.3B	On and off-site measures including any appropriate reinforcement works to the water network. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7. Alternatively, developers can fund necessary reinforcement works themselves identified through the commissioning of a Hydraulic Modelling Assessment.	H1:3 H1:25 H1:28 H1 30 H1:34 H1:32 H1: 33	Medium	DCWW or Developers. Developers can either wait for the necessary reinforcement works to be delivered through future AMP programmes or alternatively fund the works themselves.	DCWW or Developer	No schemes planned for delivery within AMP 6. Schemes to accommodate growth proposed in Adopted LDP will be considered for inclusion in AMP7.	Required reinforcement works must be in place prior to commencement of development. See phasing in Housing Trajectory.	DCWW SOCG will confirm that requirements are normal routine prerequisites for developers in the progress of development sites and are not expected to impede delivery of site allocation.	Developer can requisition DCWW to carry out reinforcement works in advance of AMP7.	DCWW SOCG will confirm that a water supply can be made available to service the Strategic Site allocations. However, an assessment will be required in order to understand the extent of off-site mains / reinforcement works required.	DCWW SOCG App 2 to show Medium cost.	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Developme nt
3.3C	No network improvements required	SD L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	DCWW SOCG will confirm A water supply can be made available to service the proposed development site.	N/A	N/A

Infra	structure Delivery Plan								ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED	DEL	IVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requireme nt
	tilities Infrastructure – Was : Where sewers/mains can be inco			-						ined in consultation		
3.4A	Measures to protect a public	SD A;	Costs to be								None	
3.4A	sewer or a number of public sewers crossing allocated Strategic Sites in the form of an easement width or diversion.	SD A, SD B SD D SD F SD G SD H SD I SD J: SD K: SD L:	identified in consultation with DCWW								None	
3.4B	Measures to protect a public sewer or a number of public sewers crossing allocated Non- Strategic Strategic Sites in the form of an easement width or diversion.	H1:7 H1:8 H1:14 H1:21 H1:26 H1:39 H1:41 H1:42 H5:3		Developer	DCWW/ Developer	N/A	Where appropriate to be	Unacceptable impact on the ability of DCWW to access and maintain the foul water network	Contingency dependent on solutions agreed in consultation with DCWW.	None		Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Developme nt.
3.4C	Measures to protect a Sewage Pumping Station on allocated Non-Strategic sites in the form of an easement width or diversion.	H1:26 H1:42					provided as part of the development of the site and incorporated into the Green					
3.4D	Address issue of DCWW strategic water main which crosses site.	SD A		Developer	DCWW/ Developer	N/A	Infrastructure network at the appropriate stage of the development. See phasing in Housing		Contingency dependent on solutions agreed in consultation with DCWW.	DCWW and Site Promoter SOCG to provide updated position on Strategic 66" water main on the site.	None	Policy EU4: Public Utilities and New Developme nt.
3.4E	Protection measures (easement width or diversion) re trunk water main crossing site.	SD D SD E SD I H1:13 H1:39 H1:41 H5:2	Where appropriate - to be provided as part of the development of the site and				Trajectory.	Unacceptable impact on the ability of DCWW to access and maintain the	Ounting		None	Policy EU4:
3.4F	Protection Measures (an easement width or diversion) re water mains (or a number of water mains) crossing site.	SD E SG G SD H SD J SD K SD L H1:13 H1:18 H1:26 H1:33	incorporated into the Green Infrastructure network at the appropriate stage of the development.	Developer	DCWW/ Developer	N/A		strategic clean water network.	Contingency dependent on solutions agreed in consultation with DCWW.	None		Public Utilities and New Developme nt.

E	SS	SE	N.	TI/

	structure Delivery Plan								ESSENTIAL	REQUIRED		IVERED
ID	Infrastructure Scheme	Related Allocated Site	Anticipated Costs (£)	Funding Source	Parties responsible for delivery	Relationship to priorities, schedules and plans	Likely Phasing	Summary Risks of non-delivery	Contingency provisions	Notes	Cost Note	Policy Requiremont
3.4G	Protection measures (easement width or diversion) re Water pumping station on site.	H5:2							Contingency dependent on solutions agreed in consultation with DCWW.	None	None	
3.0 U	tilities Infrastructure –3.5 N	lational Gri	d Infrastructu	re and other Key	Infrastructure							
3.5A	Protection measures re high pressure gas main to the north and north west of the site.	SD B	Where appropriate - to be provided as part of the development of the site and incorporated into the Green Infrastructure network at the appropriate stage of the development.	Developer	Developer	N/A	N/A	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in significant risks to public health.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Consult National Grid. <u>www.beforeyoudi</u> <u>g.nationalgrid.co</u> <u>m</u>	None	None
3.5B	Measures to address electric pylons, high pressure gas pipeline and buffers affecting the site	SD i	Where appropriate - to be provided as part of the development of the site and incorporated into the Green Infrastructure network at the appropriate stage of the development.	Developer	Developer	N/A	N/A	Site fails to meet Plan objectives relating to preventing development resulting in significant risks to public health.	None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development	Consult National Grid. <u>www.beforeyoudi</u> <u>g.nationalgrid.co</u> <u>m</u>	None	None
3.5C	Swansea port and the railway line into the docks is key infrastructure which must be safeguarded.	SD K	Where appropriate - to be provided as part of the development of the site and incorporated into the Green Infrastructure network at the appropriate stage of the development.		Developer	N/A	N/A		None. To be provided as part of the design and layout of the development		None	None

### **Background Information** 3.0

### **EDUCATION** Α.

- Identification of Measures: Education infrastructure measures included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan are derived from the ongoing process of collaboration between council Education 3.1 and Planning departments to ensure that all identified measures are informed by the current council Education strategy, levels of existing provision and the needs likely to be generated by future development as defined in the LDP. Where available, up to date information has been drawn from the Independent Financial Viability Appraisals, which provides assessments of the viability of providing the specified infrastructure on the Strategic Development sites.
- LDP Policy SI 3: Education sets out the requirement for the provision of education infrastructure and explains the background and process by which applications will be assessed to determine 3.2 the appropriate contribution to be requested. Specific education requirements are, in some instances, expressed in the site specific Strategic Development Site allocation policies SDA through SD L. The size of schools and indications of phasing set out in the SD policy requirements reflect initial assessments based on existing planned schools infrastructure LDP planned growth, however the exact size of school required will be considered at application stage to take account of the most up to date position on education provision.

## Education Strategy Background:

- 3.3 The Education policy for the Local Authority seeks to create all through primary schools catering for the age range 3-11. Where possible, schools are at least 2 Forms of Entry (420 pupil places) however the size of the school is dependent on the pupil population of specific areas. The Local Authority also seeks to create a mix of schools including community use, voluntary aided, English medium and Welsh medium. Specialist Teaching Facilities (STF) and Special schools are also part of the planning of school places process.
- 3.4 **Existing Provision:** As at January 2017 schools across the Local Authority consist of the following:
  - 63 English Primary all including Nursery provision
  - 11 Welsh Primary all including Nursery provision
  - 18 Flying Start settings, within or adjacent to Primary schools
  - 5 Faith Primary schools
  - 11 English Secondary schools
  - 2 Welsh Secondary schools
  - 1 Faith based Secondary school
  - 7 schools have sixth form provision
  - 2 Special schools in Swansea
  - 1 Pupil Referral Unit (on 3 sites)
  - There are 20 Primary Specialist Teaching Facilities and 13 Secondary Specialist Teaching Facilities. In January 2018 an additional 2 Primary STFs will open and an additional 1 Secondary STF.

## 3.5 The Current School Improvement Strategy

Within Swansea is the Quality in Education (QEd) Programme which aligns with Welsh Government's 21st Century Schools Programme: Band A of the programme of school improvement commenced in 2013/14. The aims of the QEd Programme include:

- raise standards of achievement and attainment for all children and young people; •
- improve the quality of the learning environment;
- make the best use of human, physical and financial resources.

The supply of and demand for places varies by area, along with language and faith preference.

Band B of the 21st Century Schools Programme is expected to be announced shortly and will run from April 2019. This phase of investment remains consistent with local policies, priorities and commitments, as well as the priorities and specific criteria set down by the WG for Band B as follows:

- To provide an efficient and effective educational infrastructure that will meet current and future demand for places by 2024
  - Ensuring the right size schools in the right location, with enough places to deliver Welsh and English medium education, whilst ensuring effective and efficient use of the educational estate

- Reducing backlog maintenance costs by at least 50% whilst improving any category C or D condition buildings to A or B
- To optimise the use of infrastructure and resources to deliver public services for our communities by 2024. This includes:
- Flexibility of assets with the aspiration that all facilities receiving investment commit to making assets available for community use if local demand exists
- Co-located public services on site if local demand exists

## Education infrastructure requirements arising from LDP Proposals

- 3.6 Requirement for Additional Education Places: Additional education places will in many instances be required to serve the increase in the school population from the proposed developments included in the LDP. When expanding school provision to meet additional demand for school places, consideration is given to:
  - Expansion of existing schools on unconfined sites
  - Expansion of existing school sites that abut proposed development land
  - Relocation of an existing school to a new site, to maximise school effectiveness and sustainability
  - New build sites
  - Future proofing new builds for future expansion

It is recognised that composition of dwellings will vary between and within new developments, which may impact on school provision and requirements. Where the scale of a development is not sufficiently large enough to support the building of a new school, careful consideration will need to be given to the timing and combinations of contributions (including provision of land where appropriate) to support the education needs of the additional pupils from the developments The Council seeks contributions to meet the demand for all pupils generated from within each proposed housing development. Contribution requests would be based upon circumstances known/data available at the time of developments and in some instances consideration will be given to developers building schools to agreed quality and performance standards.

- 3.7 **Requirements for New Primary Buildings on Strategic Sites:** Certain Strategic Sites generate a specific need for a new primary school building, and in such instances consideration will be given to these being provided by the developer to be constructed in accordance with required national education guidance and standards. In some instances the contributions that would be sought for both primary and secondary school places can be pooled, by means of the same monetary value being 'converted' into delivering the primary school required for the development in full – as set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan
- 3.8 Phasing of School Provision: School provision needs to be carefully phased in order to achieve a balance between demand for and supply of school places. Where extension to provision is required, contributions will need to be made available at agreed milestones to ensure effective supply of places and effective use of resources for the construction of the places.
- 3.9 Shared use of buildings and facilities: Where possible the Council would consider opportunities to share buildings and facilities with other service users to incorporate:
  - Community facilities
  - Childcare facilities (inclusive of after school club, wrap around)
  - Adult education facilities

## В. TRANSPORT

- 3.10 Identification of Measures: Transport infrastructure measures included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan are derived from the measures identified in the LDP, either in Appendix 5: Transport Measures Priority Schedule (linked to the T Policies and SD Policies) or through specific transport requirements identified against the Non-Strategic Housing Sites (Policy H1).
- 3.11 The LDP Transport infrastructure measures are informed by
  - The ARUP Strategic Transport and Development Study Report<sup>1</sup>;
  - The Independent Financial Viability Appraisal (IFVA);<sup>2</sup>
  - The Active Travel Integrated Network Map; and<sup>3</sup>
  - The Joint Transport Plan for South West Wales 2015-2020<sup>4</sup>.
  - The Countryside Access Plan 2007-2017<sup>5</sup>

http://www.swansea.gov.uk/activetravelact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Strategic Transport Assessment 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2017 Review and Update of Viability Evidence for the Swansea Local Development Plan (July 2017)

Joint Transport Plan for South West Wales 2015-2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Countryside Access Plan.

- 3.12 Costings: All costings for transport measures within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan are based on high level estimates, either from the IFVA, the ARUP Strategic Transport and Development Study Report or estimates based upon standard per km costings used by Swansea Council's Transport Department dependant on whether off road, on road or adjacent to road.
- 3.13 Phasing: The phasing information is primarily based upon the theoretical IFVA that has been undertaken, and therefore any phasing stated as based on the IFVA is indicative and will be subject to detailed negotiations between any developer and Swansea Council, inclusive of the Transport Department.
- 3.14 **Contingencies:** Have been discussed and agreed with Swansea Council's Transport Department, however many development schemes could not go ahead without the stated transport measures.
- 3.15 Attributing LDP sites to Transport Measures: Appendix 5: Transport Measures Priority Schedule clearly links relevant transport measures to Strategic Development Sites and this linkage is referenced in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan where appropriate. Where measures have been associated with an H1 Non-Strategic Site Allocation, the relevant allocation reference has been provided. Where there is no firm site attribution through either the Strategic Transport and Development Study Report or the Independent Financial Viability Appraisal (IFVA), related sites have been highlighted on the basis of proximity. However, further detail may emerge at the individual application stage through the submission of transport studies and negotiations with Swansea Council's Transport Department, which may justify additional sites being related to a particular infrastructure measure. There are also instances of Active Travel measures which also fall partly within the boundary of an H1 Non-Strategic Site Allocation. Where this is the case, this has been highlighted within the notes column, regardless of whether there is an attributed Strategic Development site. Where there is a Public Right of Way (PROW) on, adjacent or near to a site these have been highlighted and linked to the relevant site.

### **GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE** C.

- 3.16 Identification of Measures: The requirement to create/maintain or enhance the green infrastructure network throughout all new development is a fundamental objective of the LDP which is set out in Policy ER 2: Strategic Green Infrastructure Network, and reiterated in the Placemaking principles set out in PS 2: Placemaking and Place Management. The requirement is implemented on Strategic Development Sites through Policy SD 2: Masterplanning Principles, and site specific requirements in the Strategic Development site Policies SD A through to SD L.
- 3.17 Policy ER 2 makes clear that green infrastructure is "the network of multifunctional green (and blue/water) spaces, corridors and environmental features which surround, thread through, shape and help form settlements and the wider countryside.". The Green infrastructure ecosystem covers a diverse range of infrastructure which will generate a number of overlapping complimentary infrastructure requirements across the LDP.
- 3.18 These may include:
  - Accessible natural greenspace/open space/play requirements/community food growing/common land
  - Biodiversity measures/environmental enhancements including landscaping
  - Sustainable Drainage schemes/Surface Water drainage schemes/ Flood prevention/mitigation measures
  - Active Travel
- 3.19 Each element of green infrastructure requirements are supported by detailed policies such as:
  - T 2: Active Travel
  - SI 6: Provision of New Open Space
  - ER 9: Ecological Networks and Features of Importance for Biodiversity
  - ER 5: Landscape Protection
  - RP 1: Safeguarding Public Health and Natural Resources
  - RP 2: Air, Noise or Light Pollution
  - RP 3: Water Pollution and the Protection of Water Resources
  - RP 4: Avoidance of Flood Risk
  - RP 5: Land Contamination
  - RP 6: Land Instability
- 3.20 Evidence Base & Partnership Working: The exact nature of Green infrastructure requirements will be determined at the application stage. The Council has made a commitment at para 2.9.13 of the supporting text to Policy ER 2 to carry out an assessment of green infrastructure provision which will inform the detail of requirements.

- 3.21 The Council is currently working in partnership with NRW on the preparation of a Green Infrastructure Strategy (which will be adopted as SPG) and will inform the individual GI Assessments of allocated sites.
- 3.22 GI Assessments will also be supported by other existing Council plans and strategies. The Council have worked closely with relevant internal council departments to establish the impact of LDP allocations and their relationship to relevant Council Plans and strategies. Key strategies include:

Open Space	Environmental Management
LDP Open Space assessment (2016)	Swansea Bay Shoreline Management Plan (2001)
Guidance for Outdoor Sport & Play: Beyond the 6 Acre	Swansea Flood Risk Management Plan 2015
Standard - England (Fields in Trust Oct 2015)	Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Action Plans in
	Swansea (2004)
Active Travel:	Local Air Quality Management Reports
South West Wales Regional Transport Plan (2010-2015)	A Noise Action Plan for Wales 2013-2018, WG
Countryside Access Plan 2007-2017	Swansea Contaminated Land Inspection Strategy (2005)
Gower AONB Management Plan 2016	Review of SINCs in Swansea

3.23 Costings: The exact costings cannot be determined until the detailed requirements are known. The majority of measures will be provided on site by the developer as an integral part of the design and layout of the development.

## **D. UTILITIES**

3.24 Identification of Measures: Water infrastructure measures included in the Infrastructure Delivery plan are derived from the measures identified in consultation with DCWW.

- 3.25 The Council have worked closely with DCWW throughout the candidate site assessment and site allocation process to understand the extent to which clean water and foul water network issues will affect the delivery of allocations. DCWW have provided site specific comments on the capabilities of DCWW infrastructure to accommodate the allocated housing sites. The most recent published response is contained in Appendix 1 to DCWW's response to the Deposit LDP consultation<sup>6</sup>.
- 3.26 The Council are currently working on a Statement of Common Ground with DCWW which will be submitted to inform the examination. This will include an update to the site specific comments contained in DCWW Appendix 1. The infrastructure measures contained in this Infrastructure Delivery Plan are based on the draft of the SOCG, and DCWW have been consulted on the content of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
- 3.27 Costings: DCCW have clarified that they cannot advise on specific scheme costs as potential solutions to identified infrastructure constraints have yet to be developed. The updated Appendix 1 will provide an indication of "High/Medium/Low costs estimates" and set out an explanation of each level of costs.
  - Low Cost Where the water mains and/or public sewers are either:-
    - located adjacent to the site and are capable of providing the service to the development;
    - o within a reasonable distance from the development and that the development is of a density which will likely make it economically viable to procure.
  - Medium Costs: Where the water mains and/or public sewers are either:-
  - o located further away and that the distance for connection would result in higher costs to procure e.g. offsite water distances of 500m;
  - where there may be problems associated with the water supply (low pressure) and/or the public sewerage network (flooding) and these would need to be resolved to allow the development to proceed. For above medium cost instances, the requisition provision of the Water Industry Act 1991 can apply – [Sections 41-44 'Duty to comply with water main requisition' and Sections 98-101 'Duty to comply with sewer requisition']. Whereby the cost of the scheme is offset by the income generated from the development over a period of 12 years. Therefore, developers' contribution may or may not be applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Deposit Representation ID: 45465

• High Costs: Reinforcement works to Water Treatment Works (WTW) and Waste Water Treatment Works (WwTW) are normally done by Dwr Cymru Welsh Water through its Asset Management Plan as it is unreasonable for developers to fund. That said, dependant on the density proposed and the extent of reinforcement works required it may be feasible for developers to fund the reinforcement works in advance of DCWW planned Regulatory investment.

DCWW have examples of where developers have entered into Unilateral Undertakings for such reinforcement works but ultimately it is a decision for them to take dependant on the unit cost per property. The Water Industry Act does not allow the Requisition provisions/offsetting of income for reinforcement works to WTW and WwTW

3.28 Phasing and Contingencies: The phasing of infrastructure is dependent on the relationship of schemes to planned improvements in DCWW's Asset Management Programme. The Statement of Common Ground will set out information relating to DCWW's investment programme through the Asset Management Plan. It will also explain the processes through which developers can progress the provision of schemes in advance of works included in the AMP, with a detailed explanation of the requisition provisions under Water Industry Act 1991.